Responses to the comments of Reviewer #1:

Specific Comments to Authors: This study is very interesting. The manuscript requires minor editing. Some minor spelling mistakes should be corrected.

**Response:** We are grateful for your suggestion. The manuscript is checked again to correct its spelling mistakes.

Responses to the comments of Reviewer #2:

Specific Comments to Authors: Prostate cancer has no obvious symptoms in the early stages. As the disease progresses, it gradually causes oppressive or metastatic symptoms. Transurethral insertion of an electron microscope was used to remove the protruding part of the prostate. Due to the pain caused by the surgical trauma, psychological pressure, economic burden, and other factors may affect the treatment effect, making it difficult for the patient to adhere to treatment. It is necessary for medical staff to provide reasonable nursing measures to assist the patient complete treatment and improve their prognosis. In this study, the authors investigated the effects of nursing combined with bladder function training based on Peplau’s interpersonal relationship theory on the incidence of postoperative urinary incontinence. The manuscript is well written, and the results are very interesting. Comments: 1. The title is too long, the authors
should short the title for less than 20 or 18 words. 2. A conclusion should be added to the main text. 3. The tables should be edited and moved to the end of the manuscript. 4. There are some minor language polishing, which should be revised.

**Response:** We thank the reviewers for their constructive criticisms that have helped us to improve the manuscript.

1. The title was shorted for 16 words.
2. The conclusion was added to the main text.
3. All tables were edited again and moved to the end of the manuscript.
4. The manuscript has been polished and revised carefully.

Responses to the comments of company editor-in-chief:

I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, the full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Clinical Cases, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office’s comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. The title of the manuscript is too long and must be shortened to meet the requirement of the journal (Title: The title should be no more than 18 words). Authors are required to provide standard three-line tables, that is,
only the top line, bottom line, and column line are displayed, while other table lines are hidden. The contents of each cell in the table should conform to the editing specifications, and the lines of each row or column of the table should be aligned. Do not use carriage returns or spaces to replace lines or vertical lines and do not segment cell content.

Response: Thank you very much for your comments. Those comments are all valuable and very helpful. The three-line tables have been supplemented and the title was shortened for 16 words.