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In this paper, the authors describe a case of Ipsilateral hemifacial microsomia with dextrocardia and pulmonary hypoplasia. Background: Are some genes associated with these anomalies? What is the role of prenatal diagnosis in this field? "To our knowledge": This is not evidence-based, was a literature review performed? and if so, in which databases(EMBASE, PUBMED) Case presentation: Was prenatal ultrasound performed? How could the diagnosis have been achieved not at birth but at 2 years? Did the patient receive medical care before? Was the development normal? Did the patient reach the milestones according to the age? Treatment: "its unavailability in our center": was the patient transferred to a specified center? what was the follow-up? Discussion: The discussion is incomplete. In the title, the authors reported that a review of literature has been performed, however, there are no data about which databases have been used and what keywords have been used for the research and there is just this sentence: "Up to now, only three cases of HFM combined with pulmonary hypoplasia and dextrocardia have been reported, of which two male cases were combined with an ipsilateral malformation (right-side involvement) and one female case of left HFM": These three cases could be described more in a table specifying the age at diagnosis, the clinical features, the management. In conclusion: this article described a rare case, however, there are no data about what happened in the previous two years, if prenatal ultrasound was performed, there are no data about the management and the review is poor (a 10 words review). Major revisions are highly needed.
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The case is worth reporting. However, the issues raised need to be addressed to make it suitable for publication.
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