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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

- Please avoid speaking in the first person. This is done throughout the manuscript (from the abstract onwards).
- Correct the date on which the survey was conducted (it is 2021, not 2020).
- Considering that you only investigated posttraumatic stress syndrome, and that you recognize that as limitations, you did not investigate other neuropsychiatric disorders. I suggest changing the title to focus on what they investigated and obtained.
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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors investigate the prevalence and associated post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) factors among the general Chinese population. It is an important and interesting study. However, some concerns need to revise. (1) There are four tables. In tables 1 and 2, the percentage is enough to be described such as in ( ). Table 1 and table 2 could unite one table. Table 3 and 4, the explanation of Sig, M, and SD is needed. (2) References are relatively old. Some references are to be updated if possible.
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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
Though the study is novel and tried to evaluate the PTSD among general Chinese residents, there are few major limitations within the study. Firstly, the study was done more than a year back, and due to ongoing pandemic evidences are changing with days. How this delay in journal processing of this paper is justified? I am not sure if the study replicated again, we will get similar or totally different findings. Secondly, how authors be sure about the real response obtained from the participants? was there anything to monitor so as not to get fake response? This is a big question, being more than 95% respondents showed score of more than their cut off value! Lastly, how authors are sure that they got the true and single response from a participants. Rest part of the manuscript seems okay to me!