WJGE Manuscript n 57432

POINT BY POINT ANSWER TO REVIEWERS

TO THE EDITOR

Dear Editor, we sincerely appreciated all comments of the Reviewers and accepted all their suggestions.

Here we report our point-by-point answer to the comments of the Editors and Reviewers.

Reviewer #1:
Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)
Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)
Conclusion: Minor revision
Specific Comments to Authors: Need to check English grammar. For example this sentence is not understandable. The clinical success persistent long-term period, as demonstrated by the absence of new episodes of jaundice or cholangitis.

We thank the reviewer for the check to the text, English grammar was controlled and the sentence was reformulated.

Reviewer #2:
Scientific Quality: Grade D (Fair)
Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)
Conclusion: Major revision
Specific Comments to Authors: This case report focus on the new technique which named the EUS-GBD,EUS-GBD let the bile go to the duodenum through the cystic gall duct and gallbladder. Although the patient 's symptom have been relieved,this method could combine with some complication,such as bile leakage,purulent peritonitis...so ,the long outcome should be observed.

We thank the reviewer for this observation, but fortunately the possible overmentioned complications (such as bile leakage and the consequent peritonitis) were more frequent when this type of procedure was perfomed using plastic/metal non autoexpandable stents. LAMS were introduced widely recently and the use of this type of stents has reduced dramatically this chance of complicantion Infact the commoner complication in EUS GBD with Axios is the occlusion of the stent by food impaction, not the leakage at all due to the wide flanges of the stent with a "bell shape".

: Editorial Office's comments

Authors must revise the manuscript according to the Editorial Office's comments and suggestions, which are listed below:

***Science Editor*:**

- The highest single-source similarity index in the CrossCheck report showed to be 6%.The CrossCheck results showed the similarity to be high. According to our policy, the overall similarity index should be less than 30%, and the single-source similarity should be less than 5%. Please rephrase these repeated sentences.

We have rephrased the similar sentences.

- Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor

We have sent attached to the files a power point presentation with the two figures and the video.

- I found the authors did not add the PMID and DOI in the reference list. Please provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references. Please revise throughout

We added PMID and DOI for each reference

(2) ***Editorial Office Director*:** I have checked the comments written by the science editor. The "article highlight" section is not required. The authors need to fill out the CARE checklist form with page numbers.

(3) ***Company Editor-in-Chief*:** I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report and the full text of the manuscript, of which have met the basic publishing requirements, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted with major revision. Before final acceptance, the authors need to meet publishing requirement by submitting correct documents which listed by the editors. Language needs additional correction.

Documents and language were checked. Thank you so much.