

## Reviewer 1

This is an interesting bibliometric study, a field of analysis of which I had previously been unaware, but that can contribute to the field. The paper seems clinically relevant. Methodology, interpretation, figures, references are adequate. Writing is satisfactory. I suggest publishing this manuscript so that the scientific community can scrutinize the bibliometric method as well as the findings presented here.

Reply: Thank you for your positive comments.

1. we have provided proof of biostatistics statement as requested by the editor;
2. We have provided proof of editing in English for non-native English speakers;
3. we have submitted the figure in PPT form, figure legends are adjusted according to the specification of Figure1 Title: 1.; 2;
4. The pictures in this paper are all original, and there is no citation of published pictures
5. we have checked that the text does not contain anything that meets the criteria including \*, #, †, §, ‡, ¥, @...., and there are no problems with statistically relevant identification letters.
6. We have searched all relevant enzyme studies in the Discussion section according to the references such as latest publication and impact factor as requested by the editor-in-chief, and finally added a recent study in the research section of PHGDH.