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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
This article is about collagenous gastritis, which is very rare. It was really interesting and informative. However, some things are difficult to understand. Authors said 'the progression of lesions in the gastric corpus and antrum might not parallel each other.' on page 8. Do authors mean endoscopic findings have changed in 2020 and 2021? If there are any changes, please make a direct comparison of the figure. Was endoscopic finding in antrum mucosa normal on 2020?
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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
Comments In page 3, “cancer antigen 199”, should be replaced by “cancer antigen 19-9”, In page 4, “muscularis” should be replaced by “muscularis mucosa” In page 4 “Pathological histological findings” is better to be replaced by “Histopathological findings" In page 5, the sentence “After treatment, the patient's abdominal distension was relieved after 2–3 days every week”. Do you want to say “After treatment, the patient's abdominal distension was relieved for 2–3 days every week”? You should mention the collagenous colitis in more details, is a part or type of the disease? Figure 3 (CT findings) may be limited to one or two figures instead of 7 figures!!!!! Nothing specific in all these figures. There should be English editing.
### RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology  
**Manuscript NO:** 78718  
**Title:** Collagenous gastritis in a young Chinese woman: a case report  
**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed  
**Peer-review model:** Single blind  
**Reviewer’s code:** 06109343  
**Position:** Peer Reviewer  
**Academic degree:** MD  
**Professional title:** Professor  
**Reviewer’s Country/Territory:** Egypt  
**Author’s Country/Territory:** China  
**Manuscript submission date:** 2022-07-18  
**Reviewer chosen by:** Yu-Lu Chen  
**Reviewer accepted review:** 2022-09-22 23:45  
**Reviewer performed review:** 2022-09-23 23:13  
**Review time:** 23 Hours

| Scientific quality | [ ] Grade A: Excellent  | [ ] Grade B: Very good  | [ ] Grade C: Good  
|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|  
|                    | [ ] Grade D: Fair       | [ ] Grade E: Do not publish  
| Language quality   | [ ] Grade A: Priority publishing  | [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing  
|                    | [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing  | [ ] Grade D: Rejection  
| Conclusion         | [ ] Accept (High priority)  | [ ] Accept (General priority)  
|                    | [ ] Minor revision       | [ ] Major revision       | [ ] Rejection  
| Peer-reviewer      | Peer-Review: [ ] Anonymous  | [ ] Onymous  
| statements         |                          |                          |                          |  
| Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes  | [ ] No  


SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
The response of the authors are satisfying, thanks.