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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
Dear Authors, It has been a comprehensive review of the volume and shape changes of strategic brain structures that may be associated with Parkinson's disease and its symptoms. However, several different sources can be added to the discussion in that it includes different study results (for example, Volumetric analysis of the subthalamic and red nuclei based on magnetic resonance imaging in patients with Parkinson's disease. Int J Neurosci. 2014 Apr;124(4):291-5. doi: 10.3109/00207454.2013.843091). Also, some mention of studies with 7 tesla and discussing their difference or superiority, if any, with studies with lower tesla power (for example, Prefrontal and hippocampal atrophy using 7-tesla magnetic resonance imaging in patients with Parkinson's disease. Oh BH, Moon HC, Kim A, Kim HJ, Cheong CJ, Park YS. Acta Radiol Open. 2021 Feb 24;10(2):2058460120988097.). Best regards...
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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
1. Core tip should not be a brief summary, it should emphasize the most important facts about the subject of the review, please revise.  
2. I could not find satisfactory information about the frontal lobe. I suggest some current literature, please see and cite, 
a. https://www.nature.com/articles/s41467-022-28087-0 