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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In the abstract you have said that "surgical resection of duplication colon is the only approach to achieve a cure" First of all it is a contradiction because in your practice you have did a total colectomy! Secondly, what were the reasons that have pushed you to perform a total colectomy? You must precise them. What was the quality of life after a total colectomy of your patient: The number of stools per day, did he gain weight? The follow-up was too short in my opinion (12 mounths).
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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

dear Authors, this is a very original paper depicting an exceptional clinical case. The
interest of the article may be broad in different areas (genetics, colorectal surgery, pediatric surgery). Please review english language, it may be improved.