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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript is well written regarding the rare case of light chain amyloidosis in a patient with internodal marginal zone lymphoma and Waldenstrom macroglobulinemia. The manuscript also describes systemic treatment and is written in good language and clarity. Writing this case where clinical presentation lacks specificity and hence requiring detailed clinical evaluation and active search for the cause to avoid missed diagnosis and misdiagnosis along with regular follow-up and timely treatment to prolong survival are important take home messages from the report. It would be better if you could clarify the patient's presenting complaint. What was bone marrow biopsy reports in regards to Congo red stain?
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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
Hi thank you for inviting me to review the case report. the discussion should be improved. please describe the initial sign, symptoms and also physical examination .
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A concise, comprehensive, and well structured case report. I think this paper is welcome and relevant for medical daily practice. I suggest you delete the previous corrected text in order to be more easy to read. Thank you.