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Defining failure of endoluminal biliary drainage in the era of endoscopic ultrasound and lumen apposing metal stents
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Abstract
The role of endoscopy in pathologies of the bile duct and gallbladder has seen notable advancements over the past two decades. With advancements in stent technology, including the development of lumen-apposing metal stents, and adoption of endoscopic ultrasound and electrosurgical principles in therapeutic endoscopy, what was once considered endoscopic failure now translates into failure of an endoscopic approach that could be salvaged by a second- or third-line strategy. Incorporation of these advancements in routine patient care will require formal training and multidisciplinary acceptance of established techniques and collaboration for advancement of experimental techniques to generate robust evidence that can be utilize to serve patients to the best of our ability.
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Core Tip: For malignant distal biliary obstruction, endoscopic ultrasound (EUS)-guided choledochoduodenostomy is noninferior to endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) with biliary stent placement, and can be considered as a primary drainage modality instead of a salvage method. In cases with malignant hilar biliary obstruction, combined ERCP with EUS-biliary drainage (CERES) carry the potential to expand the utility of biliary drainage by providing bilateral drainage and enabling communication of the right and left intrahepatic biliary systems through bridging intra-hepatic stenting. EUS-guided gallbladder drainage (EUS-GBD) is increasingly being recognized as a feasible and efficacious treatment modality and should be considered in the management of cholecystitis in a multidisciplinary setting.
EUS-GBD can also be incorporated in the algorithm of management of distal or hilar biliary obstruction, either as a prophylactic or a therapeutic strategy.

TO THE EDITOR

Biliary interventional endoscopy refers to the ability to treat pathologies of the biliary tract through a non-surgical, endoluminal approach. The availability of fluoroscopy, coupled with endoscopic ability to access the biliary system through the ampulla of Vater, gave rise to the now well-established modality, endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP)[1]. As expertise with ERCP grew, our ability to treat and palliate expanded to encompass pathologies of the biliary system which were historically managed with surgery. While this opened new avenues, it also unveiled new challenges that highlighted the need to evolve beyond ERCP through technological innovation and novel thought to address unmet needs of patients with limited options for management of their malady. This milieu led to innovations such as endoscopic ultrasound (EUS) and development of the lumen-apposing metal stent (LAMS), both major milestones which continue to improve our ability to manage biliary pathologies.

Traditionally, failure of endoscopic biliary drainage meant failure of ERCP, subjecting patients to percutaneous biliary drainage (PTBD). Although PTBD is a valuable treatment option, one could argue that it adversely impacts patients’ quality of life due to its external attachments. In the current era, the availability of EUS and LAMS have served to redefine failure of endoscopic biliary drainage. Fugazza et al[2] highlight the role of EUS-guided biliary drainage (EUS-BD) in the management of gallbladder and biliary tree pathologies.

In regard to malignant distal biliary obstruction (MDOB), EUS-choledocoduodenostomy (EUS-CDS) historically served as a salvage modality in the setting of ERCP failure. This stepwise approach allowed expansions of endoscopic techniques available for biliary decompression in patients with MDBO. As experience with EUS-CDS grew, its technical equivalency to ERCP in the setting of MDBO became evident to the point that in recent years, one can perform EUS-CDS as a primary
drainage modality as it has been shown to be noninferior to ERCP in the ELEMENT and DRA-MBO clinical trials[3,4]. The question of cost-effectiveness of EUS-CDS as a primary drainage modality compared to ERCP in MDBO remains to be answered. In countries where LAMS are unavailable, EUS-BD still serves a useful purpose in the setting of MDBO by providing rendezvous access to allow transpapillary biliary drainage, particularly when MDBO leads to ampullary distortion.

In cases with malignant hilar biliary obstruction (MHBO), EUS-BD can serve as an adjuvant drainage modality that is to be used alongside ERCP; the utility of combined ERCP with EUS-BD (CERES) allows applicability of EUS-BD in multiple configurations (Figure 1)[5,6]. The techniques of EUS-BD studied in the setting of MHBO can serve to prolong the efficacy of endoscopic biliary drainage, potentially delaying PTBD in appropriately selected patients, thereby preserving their quality of life. EUS-BD can also establish bilateral drainage of the liver in cases with high grade MHBO with non-communicating left and right biliary systems by performing bridging intra-hepatic stenting along with a hepaticocystostomy[7]. Put together, these are promising avenues that warrant further exploration in randomized trials to validate the utility of EUS-BD in MHBO as has been done in the setting of MDBO.

The presence or absence of gallbladder can materially alter the course of management in the setting of biliary obstruction. In the case of both MDBO and MHBO, EUS-guided gallbladder drainage (EUS-GBD) can be performed prophylactically or therapeutically in the setting of cholecystitis. Preliminary data on EUS-GBD addressed the utility of this technique as a rescue modality, as summarized by Fugazza et al.[2]. Similar to EUS-BD, the role of EUS-GBD has evolved beyond a rescue technique and carries the potential to be incorporated in the algorithm of biliary drainage as a prophylactic measure. Such an approach may be of utility in cases where biliary stent placement poses the risk of cystic duct obstruction and cholecystitis; in cases with MHBO, incorporating EUS-GBD can be considered an extension of CERES, allowing bilateral drainage of the biliary tree, and maintaining gallbladder outflow. EUS-GBD has gained more traction in the setting of cholecystitis, particularly for patients who are unfit for surgery. In the current era,
incorporation of a multidisciplinary team consisting of surgeons, interventional radiologists, and interventional endoscopists for management decision in the setting of acute cholecystitis should be considered the standard of practice[8]. Lithotripsy in of cholelithiasis after EUS-GBD is an additional treatment strategy that is currently in its infancy but will undoubtedly be explored in a robust manner in the near future[9].

CONCLUSION
As the armamentarium of interventional endoscopy continues to grow, the definition of “failure” as it pertains to the ability to achieve endobiliary drainage will continue evolve to the point where a majority of patients can be offered one of the many potential therapeutic modalities to treat or palliate biliary obstruction, be it in the biliary tree of the gallbladder.