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**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Dear author’s I was pleased to review your editorial letter and i have some minor comments: A short abstract it will be informative. It is mandatory to add references in the first part of the letter. Please explain the novelty and clinical utility. Minor punctuation edits.