



ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 17929

Title: Gastroenterologist Perceptions of Faecal Microbiota Transplantation

Reviewer's code: 03412559

Reviewer's country: Colombia

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2015-03-31 08:52

Date reviewed: 2015-06-11 00:50

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

A informative paper, suitable to educational purposes and with potential to be of general interest because the topic is controversial and current. The small sample, the use of different perspectives from non-gastroenterologist physicians (8% of your data) mixed with gastroenterologists mainly without experience in the use of FMT, and the use of survey that has not been validated to make the questions, limits the use of its findings and conclusions. Suggestions, 1. Please provide a reference in the sentence about "the global CDI epidemic" 2. Please provide a reference for the "hepatic encephalopathy" condition. 3. Please provide some comments about the adverse events related to the use of FMT (i.e., 10.1093/ofid/ofv004), just to balance the patient's positions that apparently suggest its use because is safer than antibiotics. 4. How do you control potential bias collecting the information in your questionnaire or translating the info to your computer?, Do you validate in any way your formulary? 5. Considering that you include non-gastroenterologist physicians to solve the questionnaire, how do you consider that its affects your results?, can be the title modified in anyway to try to include this small portion of your sample? (I suggests that you consider the use of other word like attitude or opinion more than perception to avoid any potential confusion



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

with "risk perception" a kind of study described by P Slovic in *Science*, 1987, that is no related with your aim). 6. The overlapping between the text of your results and the figures is unnecessary and confusing. Please condense.