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**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The manuscript described the novel therapy of local hyperthermia plus chemotherapy in the treatment of multiple recurrent undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcomas (UPS). Also, the clinical outcome was satisfactory. In summary, the manuscript provided a new strategy of treatment for UPS. However, there were some contents remained to be revised. 1. Chief Complain: the sentence “that recurred 6 year after surgery and recurred 4 months after the last surgery” was obscure. I suggested the modification of “6 year after the first surgery”. 2. Results: The pathological pictures of UPS should be added to confirmed the diagnosis. 3. Discussion: At present, there are some gene mutations found in the pathogenesis of UPS, such as MET and PRDM10, which have some prognostic valuation. I suggested the part of content added to the manuscript. This would provide new therapeutic strategy for future recurrence.
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