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**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

This is a well-written article; the authors clearly explained their logic behind the treatment plan. I would like to grade the manuscript as “B”. There are some questions for the authors: 1. Page 2, line 4… There was no radioactive pain… Or radiating pain? 2. Page 3, line 1. Oswestry Disability Index. It is better to insert the abbreviation “ODI”. ODI appears in page 6, line 10. Easier for readers who are not familiar with ODI to read the article. 3. Figure 1. What is the purpose for displaying this figure? 4. Would the patient have the improvements in pain and pain-related disability associated with OVCFs if she received a course of nerve block treatment (as the management in T11 neuralgia)?
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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Percutaneous vertebroplasty (PVP) represented by Balloon Kyphoplasty (BKP) is no longer advanced surgical treatment. It was frequently applied for the treatment of vertebral compression fracture all over the world. However, outcome of the treatment is not perfect. Sometimes neuralgia and deformity persist. Thus, it is an important information that selective nerve block relieved the residual neuralgia after PKP. However, the authors did not described detailed procedures concerning selective nerve block of dorsal ramus of spinal nerve and others. Body position, insert direction of nerve block needle, and related ultrasound image during the nerve block should be shown. On the other, description of PVP may be condensed because this treatment method is well-known and performed.
RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases
Manuscript NO: 74689
Title: Selective nerve block for the treatment of neuralgia in Kummell’s disease: a case report
Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; externally peer reviewed
Peer-review model: Single blind
Reviewer’s code: 02954140
Position: Peer Reviewer
Academic degree: MD, PhD
Professional title: Senior Researcher
Reviewer’s Country/Territory: Japan
Author’s Country/Territory: China
Manuscript submission date: 2022-01-24
Reviewer chosen by: Ji-Hong Liu
Reviewer accepted review: 2022-04-13 07:46
Reviewer performed review: 2022-04-13 10:13
Review time: 2 Hours

Scientific quality

| [ ] | Grade A: Excellent | [ ] | Grade B: Very good | [ Y] | Grade C: Good |
| [ ] | Grade D: Fair | [ ] | Grade E: Do not publish |

Language quality

| [ Y] | Grade A: Priority publishing | [ ] | Grade B: Minor language polishing |
| [ ] | Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | [ ] | Grade D: Rejection |

Conclusion

| [ ] | Accept (High priority) | [ Y] | Accept (General priority) |
| [ ] | Minor revision | [ ] | Major revision | [ ] | Rejection |

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [ ] Anonymous | [ Y] | Onymous
SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
The manuscript has been revised well according to my comments. I have no further criticism.