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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
1. The authors should indicate which antibiotics were used for the 8 children with DKA.
2. What antibiotics do the authors recommend for children with DKA? Also, what antibiotics do the authors recommend not to use?
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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
In this study 45 children with DKA only eight children had AKI 2 weeks after DKA correction, seven cases had recovery only one had still AKI on discharge. At follow-up 3 months after discharge, the child's kidney function returned to normal. Author did not discuss about the probable cause and mechanism for development of AKI and its resolution.
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