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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
Dear authors, I read your article with special interest. The approach was interesting and I consider that it collaborates with current literature. I have some observations and I suggest proofreading the English. In the Case material and Method introduction section “A tissue clip with a elastic traction ring was inserted into the stomach cavity through the biopsy aperture and fixed to the normal mucosa surrounding the ulcer”. What did you mean with “inserted into the stomach cavity through the biopsy aperture”? I understand that the sentence should be rewritten, since the entire procedure was done endoscopically and no the ring did not enter the gastric cavity through the biopsy site. Does the phrase mean that the elastic traction ring was fixed at the biopsy site and inserted into de stomach through the endoscope instrument port? In the second sentence of the discussion, include stage IIB of the Forrest classification.
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The authors reported a new designed device regarding hemostasis for peptic ulcer. Indeed, the device can suture relatively large ulcers. However, I have some questions or request to improve the quality of the report. 1. Is there an upper limit in diameter of ulcer? If an ulcer is too large, is it possible to suture the ulcer? 2. It is more important to coagulate (by electrical devices) or grasp (by clip) an exposing vessel in an ulcer than to suture the ulcer for accomplishing hemostasis. In this meaning, is the device the reviewer designed effective even for Forrest 1a or 1b ulcer? 3. Regarding the final sentence of Discussion, the message is strong, because the article is a case report without any statistical analysis. 4. Please correct appearance especially usage of space.