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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Minor remark are some minor mistakes in language and additional explanations (marked in the text of the manuscript), but a major remark is that this is rare case, based mostly on pathohistological findings and expertise, but none of the authors is a pathologist. I find that ethically wrong, and since some pathological findings and photos should be enhanced - coauthorship of a this type of specialist is necessary.
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I have read the present case report with a great interest, as it describes a rare clinical case with a significant clinical importance. Before the publication of the present manuscript the below mentioned issues have to be addressed: 1. Please reform introduction section in two paragraphs. In the first paragraph please add some epidemiological literature data. In the second paragraph the aim of the present manuscript has to be stated clearly. 2. Please separate the case presentation section in three different paragraphs. 3. Discussion section is extensive but chaotic in some points. Please reform the discussion sections and add a paragraph discussing Mixed Müllerian tumors.