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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
The purpose of this study was to provide a comprehensive understanding on period poverty, including outcomes associated menstruation. I believe this is a meaningful study, but the following issues need attention before publication. 1. Specify what makes this article different from the rest of studies that are available in the literature. 2. The conclusion section needs to list the conclusions of this study and not write irrelevant content. 3. It should contain the limitations of the study and clearly list the shortcomings of the study. This manuscript is generally satisfactory, and the authors are advised to further revise the conclusion section and limitation section.
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