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In this manuscript, the authors report an interesting study about the impact of perioperative blood transfusion on oncological outcomes in ampullary carcinoma patients who underwent pancreaticoduodenectomy. The authors have made a respectable effort, but they must highlight what their manuscript adds to the medical literature. The title reflects the main subject of the manuscript. The abstract summarizes and reflects the work described in the manuscript. The keywords reflect the focus of the manuscript. Please add more recent references. The style, language and grammar require minor revision. The figure legends should not contain abbreviations. The figures and the tables are appropriate and authentic. This manuscript does not have an important creativity and innovation. It meets the code of ethics standards though. The authors used reliable research methods. The accompanying data and methods are authentic. The use of statistics is appropriate but must be verified by professionals.
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The authors conducted an retrospective study on the prognostic value of blood transfusion to patients survival. The study is well analyzed and good written, but the design is the drawback. Several questions are important for readers and should be answered. 1 The author concluded that perioperative blood transfusion might be associated with decreased overall survival in early ampullary carcinoma. the reasonable use of transfusion might be helpful to improve overall survival. There are two mistakes should be considered. First, the blood transfusion is not an affiliated therapy, which is depend on the blood loss during the surgery. Only the comparision between blood transfusion group and non transfusion group in blood loss patients who need blood transfusion during surgery can give the correct pragnostic value of PBT on survival. Second, the reasonable use of transfusion provide no useful information for doctors, because doctors have no method to decide PBT before surgery. 2 what is the "short-term" survival, a month? 3 month? 3 Abstract part: The effect of perioperative blood transfusion on the prognosis of "digestive system tumors" is still debated. should be "ampullary carcinoma"
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Thank you for the opportunity to review this manuscript. Unfortunately, the language writing requires major improvements. Thus the ideas cannot be clearly understood.
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