Dear Editors,

We extend our appreciation for sharing the following comments regarding our manuscript entitled “Improving Sessile Serrated Adenoma Detection Rates with High Definition Colonoscopy: A Retrospective Study” (69676).

Reviewer #1:
Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)
Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)
Conclusion: Minor revision
Specific Comments to Authors: Thanks to the authors for this well-organised study. There are two major limitations of the study; First, retrospectively designed, Second, there are 11 different endoscopists in the study. Endoscopists are likely to have different skill levels. I think that those weaken the impact of the study.

These limitations were originally addressed; however, we have emphasized these limitations within the discussion section in the paragraph regarding the limitations of our study with the following:

“A main limitation is the retrospective design of the study. In addition, while the longitudinal nature of the study permitted a relatively large number of colonoscopies to be included in our analysis, the four-year period allowed for changing skill level of endoscopists over time.”

We hope that these edits allow for our manuscript to be acceptable for publication in World Journal of Clinical Cases.

Sincerely,
Abhinav Sehgal