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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Authors; I have read and evaluated the manuscript "Coaxial radiography guided puncture technique for percutaneous transforaminal endoscopic lumbar discectomy: A randomized controlled trial" New hypotheses were put forward and were explanatory. The biggest limitations is the sample size. overall it is a good study and manuscript. King regards,
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**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**
The research was to testify the superiority of CR-PT over AP-PT in percutaneous transforaminal endoscopic lumbar discectomy. It is a interesting study. However, the sample size of the study was a little small and do not find the superiority of CR-PT over AP-PT. what’s more, this paper needs to be some rewritten. It needs significant English language revision to improve readability and understanding.