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Abstract

BACKGROUND
Many patients prioritize the ability to return to work (RTW) after shoulder replacement surgeries such as total shoulder arthroplasty (TSA), reverse total shoulder arthroplasty (rTSA), and shoulder hemiarthroplasty (HA). Due to satisfactory clinical and functional long-term outcomes, the number of shoulder replacements performed will continue to rise into this next decade. With younger individuals who compose a significant amount of the workforce receiving shoulder replacements, patients will begin to place a higher priority on their ability to RTW following shoulder arthroplasty.

AIM
To summarize RTW outcomes following TSA, rTSA, and HA, and analyze the effects of workers’ compensation (WC) status on RTW rates and ability.

METHODS
This systematic review and analysis was performed in accordance with Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses guidelines. A literature search regarding return to work following shoulder arthroplasty was performed using four databases (PubMed, Scopus, Embase, and Cochrane Library), and the Reference Citation Analysis (https://www.referencecitationanalysis.com/). All studies in English relevant to shoulder arthroplasty and return to work through January 2021 that had a
level of evidence I to IV were included. Nonclinical studies, literature reviews, case reports, and those not reporting on return to work after shoulder arthroplasty were excluded.

RESULTS
The majority of patients undergoing TSA, rTSA, or HA were able to return to work between one to four months, depending on work demand stratification. While sedentary or light demand jobs generally have higher rates of return to work, moderate or heavy demand jobs tend to have poorer rates of return. The rates of return to work following TSA (71%-93%) were consistently higher than those reported for HA (69%-82%) and rTSA (56%-65%). Furthermore, WC status negatively influenced clinical outcomes following shoulder arthroplasty. Through a pooled means analysis, we proposed guidelines for the average time to RTW after TSA, rTSA, and HA. For TSA, rTSA, and HA, the average time to RTW regardless of work demand stratification was 1.93 ± 3.74, 2.3 ± 2.4, and 2.29 ± 3.66 mo, respectively.

CONCLUSION
The majority of patients are able to RTW following shoulder arthroplasty. Understanding outcomes for rates of RTW following shoulder arthroplasty would assist in managing expectations in clinical practice.
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Core Tip: Many patients prioritize the ability to return to work after shoulder replacement surgeries such as total shoulder arthroplasty, reverse total shoulder
arthroplasty, and shoulder hemiarthroplasty. While rates of return to work have been studied in the literature following shoulder arthroplasty, a consensus on which is the most effective treatment is still controversial. Information about the ability to return to work following any type of shoulder arthroplasty would assist patients and surgeons in managing expectations and put into place evidence-based guidelines. This systematic review examines how return to work following shoulder arthroplasty has been studied and reported in the literature.

INTRODUCTION
Over the last two decades, the number of shoulder arthroplasties, including total shoulder arthroplasty (TSA), reverse total shoulder arthroplasty (rTSA), and shoulder hemiarthroplasty (HA), has increased at exponential rates\(^1\)-\(^4\). TSA has typically been indicated for end-stage shoulder conditions in individuals with intact rotator cuff and sufficient glenoid bone stock to allow for stable glenoid component implantation\(^8\)-\(^11\). The TSA procedure involves replacing the humeral head and glenoid with similarly shaped prosthetic components. rTSA, on the other hand, was historically indicated for patients with massive rotator cuff tears and involves using a convex glenoid hemispheric ball and a concave humerus articulating cup to reconstruct the glenohumeral joint. HA has traditionally been indicated in patients with glenohumeral arthritis where the glenoid bone stock is inadequate for TSA\(^1\)-\(^4\). This procedure involves removing the humeral articular surface and replacing it with a stemmed humeral component.

Due to satisfactory clinical and functional long-term outcomes, the number of shoulder replacements performed will continue to rise into this next decade, with models predicting between 174,810 and 350,558 procedures by 2025\(^2\),\(^5\),\(^6\). Historically, shoulder replacements have been performed in elderly patients for degenerative shoulder conditions; however, these procedures are becoming more prevalent in younger and more active populations\(^5\)-\(^8\). Furthermore, individuals born between 1981 and 1996
make up the largest generation of workers in the U.S. Labor Force\textsuperscript{[9]}. With younger individuals who compose a significant amount of the workforce receiving shoulder replacements, patients will begin to place a higher priority on their ability to return to work (RTW) following shoulder arthroplasty.

Prior studies have shown varying levels of RTW after shoulder arthroplasty based on arthroplasty type, diagnosis, and work intensity\textsuperscript{[10-12]}. While informative, a compilation comparing various demographics, arthroplasty types, diagnoses, and work intensities has not been performed in recent years. The purpose of this systematic literature review and analysis is to summarize outcomes of return to work following TSA, rTSA, and HA as well as analyze the effects of workers' compensation status on rates and ability to return to work.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

In accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses guidelines, a systematic review and analysis was performed\textsuperscript{[13,14]}. The PubMed, Scopus, Embase, and Cochrane Library databases were queried using the search terms "shoulder arthroplasty", "shoulder replacement", "shoulder hemiarthroplasty", or "humeral resurfacing" combined with "return to work". The Reference Citation Analysis (https://www.referencecitationanalysis.com/) software was also used to identify any additional studies. The final search was performed on January 8th, 2021. Additionally, the references of each study were manually assessed as well for potential inclusion in this investigation. The flow diagram summarizes the progression of the literature review with 12 total references meeting the inclusion criteria (Figure 1).

Clinical studies were evaluated and included if they were in English, had level of evidence I to IV, and reported on return to work after shoulder arthroplasty. Nonclinical studies, literature reviews, case reports, and those not reporting on return to work after shoulder arthroplasty were excluded. Title and abstract reviews were
performed by two of the study authors (S.P.L. and J.N.L.). The full texts of articles meeting inclusion criteria based on title and abstract were then reviewed by two of the study authors (S.P.L. and A.A.) for final inclusion in the study. As referenced in Figure 1, 23 references were initially identified by the keyword search terms described above. After the title review, 8 references were excluded as 7 were irrelevant to the topic of discussion and 1 was a case report. One reference was excluded after abstract review as it was a review article and two references were excluded after full text review as they did not include return to work data. Following the review process, there were 12 references left and all were included in this review.

Included studies were evaluated using the Methodological Index for Non-Randomized Studies (MINORS) checklist\textsuperscript{[15]}. Studies were evaluated on 8 to 12 items, with each scored 0 (not reported), 1 (reported but poorly or inadequately done), or 2 (reported, well done and adequate), with a maximum score of 16 and 24 for noncomparative and comparative studies, respectively. Articles were scored by one of the study authors (S.P.L.) and confirmed by two of the study authors (A.A. and J.N.L.). An analysis of the 12 total articles is shown in Tables 1, 2, and 3.

\section*{RESULTS}
\subsection*{RETURN TO WORK AFTER TSA}

TSA has shown to be a highly effective treatment for degenerative shoulder disease with adequate long-term outcomes, low revision rates, and high implant survivorship\textsuperscript{[7,16]}. The number of anatomic total shoulder arthroplasties has increased from 29,414 in 2011 to 40,750 in 2017 partly due to an increased demand from younger populations and expanded indications\textsuperscript{[2,17,20]}. With this increase in demand and volume, RTW following anatomic total shoulder arthroplasty is an important metric for many employed patients.
In a study by Bülhoff et al, 57 TSA patients were analyzed after meeting inclusion criteria\textsuperscript{[10]}. At the most recent follow-up, 22 total patients (39\%) returned to work. It is important to note that 6 patients (11\%) cited their inability to pursue work at the time of most recent follow-up due to shoulder problems. While the authors concluded that approximately 61\% of their patients did not retire or cease their vocation because of TSA, a large number of patients who were not working at final follow-up had retired from work\textsuperscript{[10]}. This major limitation could be responsible for a low rate of RTW.

Liu et al reported on 52 patients (54 shoulders), who were 55 years or younger at the time of surgery, worked in the 3 years leading up to surgery, and were available for a minimum follow-up of 2 years\textsuperscript{[12]}. Forty-eight patients (92\%) were able to RTW postoperatively at an average of 2.1 mo after surgery. In addition to calculating the rate of RTW, the authors stratified patients by intensity of work: sedentary, light, moderate, or heavy. 41 of 41 (100\%) patients who had sedentary, light, or moderate work preoperatively were able to return to the same level of work. However, only 7 of 11 (64\%) patients who had heavy-intensity work preoperatively were able to RTW. Of the 4 patients who did not RTW, only one patient cited shoulder pain and limited range of motion as the reason\textsuperscript{[12]}. Additionally, the intensity of work was positively correlated with time to return to work. The authors found a statistically greater time to RTW when comparing heavy intensity (4.2 mo) to sedentary, light, and moderate intensity, respectively.

Coetanovich et al analyzed 27 shoulders (24 patients) that underwent anatomic TSA with an inlay glenoid component and stemless ovoid humeral head component\textsuperscript{[21]}. 25 (93\%) of 27 patients were able to RTW with an average duration of 3.7 mo following surgery. Of the 2 patients who were not able to return, one patient cited reasons unrelated to the shoulder and the other patient cited back issues. When stratified by job intensity preoperatively, the rates of RTW were as follows: 5/5 for sedentary, 2/2 for light, 9/9 for moderate, and 9/11 for heavy. Furthermore, of the 25 patients who returned to
work, 19 (76%) were able to return to their preoperative occupational demands. The 6 patients who returned to work at a lower intensity held heavy intensity occupations[21]. In addition to corroborating high rates of RTW for patients undergoing TSA, the authors found that patients with heavier demand jobs were less likely to RTW at the same occupational level postoperatively than patients in the other work demand classes.

In a large clinical series by Jayasekara et al, 1,773 patients were examined[22]. TSA was one of the twelve surgeries analyzed with a total number of 38 patients. At the six month follow-up, 27 (71%) patients were able to return to some type of work: 14 (37%) patients returned with full duty, 13 (34%) patients returned with lighter duty, and 11 (29%) patients were unable to return to work. Of the twelve surgeries analyzed, TSA at 71% was shown to have a lower rate of RTW compared to surgeries such as HA and rTSA which had 82% and 56%, respectively[22]. This lower rate of RTW may have been due to a higher average age of patients who underwent TSA compared to those in previous studies; therefore, the age of the patients may have negatively influenced their desire and ability to return to work[22].

In summary, the majority of studies cited a rate of return to work between 71% and 93% with an average duration of 1 to 4 mo following TSA[12][21]. Furthermore, most patients who undergo TSA are able to return to work at the same preoperative intensity level with the exception of those patients in heavy intensity jobs who are less likely to return to work after TSA.

RETURN TO WORK AFTER RTSA

In 2003, the United States Food and Drug Administration approved the use of reverse total shoulder arthroplasty (rTSA) for rotator cuff arthropathy[1,23]. Since that time, the volume of rTSA has drastically increased, from 21,916 in 2011 to 63,845 in 2017, in part
due to its encouraging results and expanded indications to cover proximal humerus fracture and previous failures of arthroplasty\cite{24-27}. When comparing the number of rTSA to the total number of shoulder replacements from 2011 and 2017, the percentage has increased from 33% to 58\%\cite{2}. Due to the exponential increase in rTSA use, a clinical review outlining the rate of RTW after rTSA will assist orthopedic surgeons in treating future patients with shoulder conditions.

*Garcia et al* conducted a study on 40 patients who had undergone rTSA\cite{28}. Of the 40 patients analyzed, 26 (65\%) of them were able to RTW with an average time of 2.3 mo. From the 14 patients who did not return to work, only two of them retired due to shoulder reasons while the other 12 retired due to nonorthopedic causes. When stratified into intensity level, rates were comparable to the overall rate of RTW with 17 (68\%) of 25 patients returning in the sedentary class and 9 (60\%) of 15 returning in the light class. Additionally, patients with sedentary jobs returned to work more quickly than those with light work (1.4 mo vs 4.0 mo).

*Jayasekara et al* evaluated 34 rTSA patients, with 19 (56\%) of them able to return to some type of work at the 6 mo follow-up\cite{22}. Eight of the 19 patients who returned to work were able to RTW with full duties and the other eleven returned to work with lighter duties. From the twelve surgeries included in the study, rTSA was associated with the lowest rate of RTW at 56\%. *Jayasekara et al* concluded that this percentage is consistent with prior studies which cited a 65\% rate of RTW\cite{11,28}.

The available data suggests that the majority of patients who undergo rTSA are able to RTW at rates between 56\% and 65\%. Despite this low percentage, the volume of rTSA continues to rise due to expanding indications\cite{2}.

**RETURN TO WORK AFTER HA**
Traditionally, HA was considered a safer option compared to TSA or rTSA for patients who wished to remain active following surgery due to its low failure rate and utilization of an intact glenoid\cite{29}. Despite exponential rises in TSA and RTSA, the rate of HA procedures has steadily declined from 15,860 in 2011 to 6,150 in 2017\cite{3}. This is in part due to the increase in rTSA for shoulder replacement. Since the indications for rTSA have been expanded to include fractures, the rate of HA for fracture use has decreased by nearly 30\%\cite{30,32}. Additionally, recent studies have shown that clinical outcomes from HA are significantly inferior to that of TSA and that patients undergoing HA had statistically significantly worse functional scores\cite{11,33,35}. With this steady decline over the last decade, there is much necessity for a clinical review that examines all available literature regarding the rates of RTW for HA.

Garcia et al examined 49 patients who worked preoperatively and underwent HA\cite{36}. Thirty-four (69.4\%) patients were able to return to previous employment at an average duration of 1.4 mo. Preoperatively, 20 (41\%) patients classified their jobs as sedentary, 25 (51\%) patients as light physical work, and 4 (8\%) patients as moderate physical work. Following HA, 15 of 20 (75\%) patients returned as sedentary, 17 of 25 (68\%) patients as light physical work, and 2 of 4 (50\%) patients as moderate physical work. While no patients changed job demand level postoperatively, the average time to return to employment varied: 1.9 mo for sedentary, 2.6 mo for light, and 13.1 mo for moderate.

As one of the first studies to analyze the rates of RTW following HA, Garcia et al was able to quantify evidence that aided physicians in managing expectations of patients undergoing shoulder HA\cite{36}.

Jayasekara et al included 11 patients who underwent shoulder HA\cite{22}. Nine (82\%) patients were able to return to some type of work at 6 mo follow-up with 6 (55\%) patients able to return to full duties, 3 (27\%) able to return to lighter duties, and 2 (18\%) unable to return to work. While the reason for not returning to work was not cited, it may be due to the fact that the average age of patients undergoing HA in this cohort
was 72 years of age. Although a limitation of this study was a smaller size, Jayasekara et al found higher rates of RTW despite an average age much higher than previous studies[11,26].

Recent literature has shown rates of RTW for shoulder HA between 69% and 82% compared to both TSA and rTSA[22,36]. Despite higher rates of RTW for HA compared to rTSA, the number of HA cases continues to decline with poor functional outcomes at long-term follow-up[11,37].

**COMPARISON OF RTW BETWEEN TSA AND RTSA**

In patients with end-stage glenohumeral arthritis and an intact rotator cuff, TSA has shown to be a highly effective treatment with high rates of functional recovery[5]. While the original indication for rTSA was rotator cuff arthropathy, the indications for rTSA have expanded to include conditions such as TSA and HA implant failures, complex proximal humerus fractures, asymmetric glenoid wear, posterior humeral head subluxation in patients with intact rotator cuffs, and irreparable rotator cuff tears in the absence of arthritis[38-40]. Similarly, the indications for TSA have also expanded to now include a more diverse and active patient population[41]. As younger patients undergo shoulder replacements, many patients cite their ability to work as instrumental in their decision to have surgery. With increased indications for both surgeries, assessing the ability of patients to return to work following TSA and rTSA is imperative to educate future patients and manage expectations.

In one recent study, Kurowicki et al, evaluated 159 patients undergoing TSA (average age 69) and 106 patients undergoing rTSA (average age 75)[41]. Authors used the American Shoulder and Elbow Surgeons (ASES) Assessment Form as a way to track patients’ ability to return to work. Among usually reported work, it is important to note that 43% of patients cited retirement as their work, with housework (27%) and desk jobs (18%) as the second and third most cited, respectively. Kurowicki et al reported a 21%
higher difference in overall ability to work for patients following TSA compared to those patients who underwent rTSA[41]. In particular, statistically significant differences were found between TSA and rTSA amongst patients who cited their work as housework or gardening.

Kurovicki et al, is the only study that compares the ability of TSA patients to return to work to rTSA patients. Based on this study, authors concluded that returning to work after TSA is more favorable than rTSA in fields of work that require low-demand activities such as housework and gardening[41]. This study was limited by its reporting bias from survey-based studies, small sample size within work subgroups, and population representation differences particularly in age. Regardless, comparisons among these groups hold importance in defining patient and surgeon expectations after surgery.

**COMPARISON OF RTW BETWEEN TSA AND HA**

If non-operative treatment for glenohumeral osteoarthritis with intact rotator cuff integrity fails, patients are often told to consider HA or TSA. While the optimal surgical treatment remains controversial, there are benefits to both procedures. Multiple studies have shown that patients with glenohumeral arthritis who undergo TSA have improved pain relief, higher functional scores, and more range of motion compared to those who undergo HA[35,36,42-44]. However, TSA also has an increased operative time, more blood loss, more technical difficulty, and incurs the risk of glenoid loosening[45]. On the other hand, while HA has the benefits of decreased operative time, decreased blood loss, and less technical difficulty, there is some concern regarding the progression of arthritic changes especially with bone loss and the need for future revision surgeries such as conversion to TSA[45,46]. Furthermore, many patients have lifting restrictions after TSA, which may limit their ability to return to work[47].
Goud et al, analyzed 53 total patients with glenohumeral arthritis\textsuperscript{[48]}. 25 patients (average age of 52.8 years) received HA with ream-and-run resurfacing and 28 patients (average age of 53.3 years) received TSA. Of the 25 patients undergoing HA, all 25 (100\%) were able to return to work at an average duration of 1.98 mo. On the other hand, 25 (89\%) of 28 patients receiving TSA were able to return to work with an average time of 2.5 mo following surgery. When HA patients were stratified preoperatively into work demand level, 7 patients were categorized as sedentary, 7 were light, 4 were moderate, and 7 were heavy. For TSA, 10 patients were categorized as sedentary, 5 were light, 9 were moderate, and 4 were heavy. Postoperatively, all HA patients (100\%) in sedentary, light, and moderate were able to return to work. For TSA, 9 (90\%) of 10 returned to sedentary work, while all (100\%) light and moderate duty patients returned to work. For the heavy category, 7 (100\%) of 7 HA patients were able to return compared to 2 (50\%) of 4 TSA patients demonstrating that heavy duty workers undergoing HA had a significantly higher rate of RTW\textsuperscript{[48]}. Of the 2 TSA heavy duty patients who were unable to return to work, only one reported permanent restriction with overhead lifting. Despite this difference, authors concluded near equivalent rates of RTW between HA and TSA.

Liu et al evaluated 49 total patients with end-stage glenohumeral osteoarthritis\textsuperscript{[49]}. Twenty-six patients underwent HA (average age of 62.4 years) and 23 patients underwent TSA (average age 61.7). Sixteen (62\%) of 26 HA patients were able to return to work at an average duration of 1.88 mo following surgery. Of the patients undergoing TSA, 20 (87\%) were able to return to work at an average time of 1.29 mo following surgery. From the 10 HA patients who did not return to work, only one had retired postoperatively due to shoulder issues. The other nine patients retired preoperatively due to the shoulder, other medical reasons, or postoperatively due to non-specified reasons. Of the three TSA patients who did not return to work, zero had retired postoperatively due to the shoulder. Patients either retired preoperatively due to the shoulder, other medical concerns, or non-specified reasons. For patients who
underwent TSA, 7 (100%) of 7 returned to a sedentary work demand level, 9 (82%) of 11 returned to a light work demand level, and 3 (100%) of 3 returned to a heavy work demand level (Table 4). For patients who underwent HA, 8 (62%) of 13 returned to a sedentary work demand level, 7 (70%) of 10 returned to a light work demand level, and 1 (33%) of 3 returned to a heavy work demand level (Table 4). (68%) of 25 returned to a sedentary work level and 9 (60%) of 15 returned to a light work demand level (Table 4). Liu et al concluded that patients with osteoarthritis undergoing TSA have higher rates of RTW and function compared to those undergoing HA[49].

From these two studies, there is still a discrepancy in terms of ability to return to work between HA and TSA. The mixed results could potentially be due to the limitations of each study. For example, in Goward et al, surgeons counseled their TSA patients that they would have permanent overhead lifting restrictions, whereas those who underwent HA would not receive these restrictions[48]. Comparatively, in Liu et al, surgeons placed no postoperative work restrictions on either group[49]. Furthermore, the average age of individuals in Goward et al (52.8 and 53.3 years of age) was significantly lower than the average of individuals in Liu et al (62.4 and 61.7 years of age) possibly indicating that older patients either hold more sedentary, less demanding occupations or may benefit more in their ability to return to work following TSA compared to HA[48,49].

**COMPARISON OF RTW BETWEEN HA AND RTSA**

When TSA is contraindicated, in cases such as rotator cuff or deltoid dysfunction, deficiencies in glenoid bone stock, or proximal humerus fractures, patients must be educated on the benefits and drawbacks of HA vs rTSA[50]. Many studies over the last decade have shown more predictable and superior outcomes for rTSA compared to HA[51-53]. Yet, in the younger population, especially those who want to remain employed following surgery, surgeons often feel more comfortable recommending HA given the theoretical risk of glenoid component loosening or failure in rTSA[54].
Furthermore, surgeons tend to place more activity restrictions on patients who undergo rTSA, which could significantly limit their ability to return to work.

*Hurwit et al.* compared 40 rTSA patients (average age of 68.6 years) to 41 HA patients (average age of 60.8 years) all of whom had end-stage glenohumeral arthritis with rotator cuff dysfunction, deficiencies in glenoid bone stock that prohibited the insertion of an anatomic glenoid component, or proximal humerus fracture[11]. Of the patients who underwent rTSA, 26 (65%) of them were able to return to work at an average duration of 2.3 mo following surgery. Only two patients who were unable to return to work cited their main reason as issues with the shoulder following surgery, while the other twelve either retired preoperatively due to medical reasons or non-specified reasons. Twenty-nine (71%) of the 41 HA patients were able to return to work at an average time of 3.1 mo after surgery. In this cohort, only one patient retired postoperatively due to shoulder issues. The other eleven had retired preoperatively due to the shoulder, medical reasons, or non-specified reasons. For patients who underwent HA, 14 (74%) of 19 were able to return to a sedentary work demand level, 13 (72%) of 18 returned to a light demand level, and 2 (50%) of 4 returned to work at a heavy work level (Table 5). For patients who underwent rTSA, 17 (68%) of 25 returned to a sedentary work level and 9 (60%) of 15 returned to a light work demand level (Table 5). *Hurwit et al.* concluded no significant difference between the two groups in terms of return to low- and moderate-intensity work, despite an older age for patients undergoing rTSA[11].

Despite a higher rate of RTW for HA patients, no significant differences were found by *Hurwit et al.* A potential limitation with this study was the significant difference in average age of each cohort (68.6 years for rTSA patients and 60.8 years for HA patients), even though this did not affect return to work rates[11]. Furthermore, this study only had sufficient sample sizes for sedentary and light duty workers. Due to the lack of heavy
duty workers, especially in rTSA, it is possible to hypothesize that heavy laborers may have experienced more difficulty in returning to work.

COMPARISON OF RTW BETWEEN WORKERS COMPENSATION (WC) AND NON-WC

Work-related injuries are a common cause of disability in the United States and have significant implications for workers, employers, insurers, and physicians\cite{18,55,56}. Workers’ compensation status has shown to have a detrimental effect on clinical outcomes following orthopedic surgery\cite{57,58}. The impact of workers compensation status on postoperative outcomes is an important consideration for patients undergoing shoulder arthroplasty.

Morris et al, compared 14 workers’ compensation (WC) patients who underwent rTSA to a matched cohort of 14 patients without WC status who also underwent rTSA\cite{55}. From the patients with WC claims, only 2 (14%) of 14 were able to RTW. Of the 12 patients who were not able to RTW, one was unemployed and seeking employment at the time of follow-up, five were disabled, and six had retired following rTSA. In the matched cohort of non-WC patients, only 11 patients had worked prior to the surgery. From these 11 patients, 5 (46%) were able to RTW, one was disabled, and five had retired after rTSA. No patients, WC or non-WC, were able to return to heavy/strenuous work demands after rTSA. Despite significant improvement from preoperative to final follow-up outcomes, WC patients had significantly worse Constant scores, ASES scores, Western Ontario Osteoarthritis of the Shoulder Index (WOOS) scores, and less external rotation compared with the matched cohort group. Morris et al reported that while WC patients had significant improvements following rTSA, they achieved significantly worse outcomes compared to non-WC patients after rTSA\cite{55}.

In Jawa et al, a cohort of 13 WC patients (average age of 55.9 years) who underwent TSA were compared to a control group of 63 patients (average age of 63.2 years) who also
underwent TSA\textsuperscript{[56]}. While RTW rates were not cited for the control group, only 4 (31\%) of the 13 WC patients were able to RTW following TSA. Of the four patients who returned, one returned to the same job with lifting restrictions and the other three changed jobs to those that require less lifting. From the nine patients who did not return, 7 did not return due to functional restrictions after the surgery and 2 had retired. Additionally, Jawa \textit{et al} found the ASES score to be significantly lower in the WC cohort compared to the control group. From this study, authors concluded no WC patients were able to return to full duty work at their current job and that WC patients receiving TSA had poorer outcomes compared to non-WC patients\textsuperscript{[56]}.

Despite the lack of difference in RTW rates following shoulder arthroplasty for patients with or without WC claims, many studies in orthopedic literature have found poorer outcomes, lower satisfaction rates, and more pain in patients with WC status after shoulder arthroplasty\textsuperscript{[55,56,59,60]}. Similar findings exist in the shoulder literature outside of shoulder arthroplasty. For example, in numerous rotator cuff studies, patients with WC status have been found to be significantly less compliant with postoperative protocols and have less improvement in functional outcomes and pain after controlling for confounding factors such as age, marital status, education level, preoperative expectations, work demands, smoking, comorbidities, duration of symptoms, size of tear, and repair technique\textsuperscript{[61-64]}. Furthermore, other similar results have been found in WC cohorts undergoing acromioplasty, superior labral anterior-posterior tear, and biceps tenodesis for failed superior labral anterior-posterior repair\textsuperscript{[65-68]}. Regardless of procedure type, the differences in pain and outcomes persist, suggesting that WC status may play a crucial role in inferior outcomes.

**DISCUSSION**

**RTW GUIDELINES**
While the decision to return to work depends on a variety of factors, all physicians have the goal of returning patients to maximal function in the shortest period of time with the least residual disability\textsuperscript{69}. Based on the available literature, guidelines can be proposed for average time to return to work for each work demand level within each type of shoulder arthroplasty (Table 6). We determined these averages through a pooled analysis.

Throughout the rehabilitation process, physicians must assess patients, especially those with WC status, in terms of work restrictions and limitations. Given the little published evidence for guidelines regarding physical restrictions after shoulder arthroplasty, the work restrictions are commonly based on the physician’s clinical judgment\textsuperscript{69}. On the other hand, work limitations are easier to define as they are based on the patient’s ability to perform a certain task\textsuperscript{69}.

Particularly for WC patients who undergo shoulder replacement and rehabilitation and have still failed to RTW at their desired work demand level, work conditioning or work hardening therapy regimens can be prescribed\textsuperscript{69,72}. Work conditioning, a task simulation program lasting two to four hours per day for three to five days per week, is meant to develop a patient’s ability to tolerate specific tasks they would typically encounter at work. Work hardening has the same goal in mind with a higher intensity lasting up to eight hours per day for five days per week\textsuperscript{69}.

When recovery from shoulder arthroplasty has reached a therapeutic plateau for either non-WC or WC patients, a physician must rate the residual permanent impairment and individually assess how long each injured patient should remain on this plateau before considering them at maximum medical improvement (MMI)\textsuperscript{69}. MMI is established when no further treatment will significantly change the patient’s outcome; at this point, a patient can be recovered completely without any residual impairment or have some permanent impairment\textsuperscript{69,73}. Specifically for TSA, Cabarcas et al established MMI at
twelve months postoperatively\textsuperscript{74}. While Puzzitiello et al established MMI for rTSA at twelve months following surgery, Matar et al found patients undergoing rTSA may reach MMI as early as six months after surgery\textsuperscript{73,77}. If a patient has reached MMI, but has failed to achieve their pre-injury or prior level of work status, then a physician can utilize a functional capacity evaluation (FCE) to determine the patient’s ability and impose final work restrictions\textsuperscript{69}. Although the results of the FCE are often used to set work limitations, some studies have questioned its utility as FCE does not take biopsychosocial factors into account and possibly measures a patient’s tolerance to an activity as opposed to the patient’s true ability\textsuperscript{69,77,78}.

After MMI has been reached, there are two outcomes: (1) the patient is able to return to work with or without permanent restrictions at the same job or (2) the patient finds a new job because the employer cannot accommodate the patient’s work limitations\textsuperscript{69}. Using evidence-based guidelines to determine MMI for TSA, rTSA, and HA is important not only for counseling patients, but also modifying their expectations prior to surgery.

\textbf{LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS}

Our narrative systematic review and analysis has several limitations. First, identification and inclusion of references utilized for this review relied on the previously described search strategy in 4 different databases. We searched 4 different databases in order to limit the possibility of overlooking studies related to shoulder arthroplasty and return to work. Second, our data relied on the data reported in the included studies. Therefore, we are limited by the clarity of the results reported as well as the study design and level of evidence. As a result, we utilized the MINORS score to evaluate the quality of the 12 included studies and any potential publication bias. We found that the 12 studies were of acceptable quality and determined no findings suggestive of publication bias. Additionally, our data shows a high level of heterogeneity which may lead to treatment bias effect. Similarly, with regard to work
intensity, our study is limited by what was reported and those studies may exclude important nuances that could have led to functional consequences. Furthermore, the heterogeneity of our data is reflective of the reality of clinical practice and often most accurately represents what orthopedic surgeons encounter in the clinical setting\textsuperscript{[79-81]}. Despite these limitations, the findings in our study provide important data that help orthopedic surgeons manage patient expectations about return to work following TSA, rTSA, or HA.

In the future, systematic reviews and analyses regarding shoulder arthroplasty and return to work will hopefully have access to references that are more homogenous with higher levels of evidence. Although the reality that a high level of heterogeneity may be inevitable in the clinical research setting, additional research should be conducted that compares short- and long-term outcomes following TSA, rTSA, and HA and a patients’ ability to return to work. Furthermore, revision arthroplasty and ability to return to work may be a topic worth exploring as the average age of patients undergoing shoulder replacement is decreasing.

**CONCLUSION**

The majority of patients are able to RTW following TSA, rTSA, and shoulder HA. The rates of RTW following TSA (71%-93%) seem to be consistently higher than those reported for HA (69%-82%) and rTSA (56%-65%), although this may reflect demographic differences such as age in patient populations. Sedentary, light demand jobs generally have higher rates of RTW than moderate or heavy demand jobs. On average, most patients who underwent TSA, rTSA, or HA were able to RTW at an average duration between 1 to 4 mo depending on work demand level. Furthermore, WC status negatively influenced clinical outcomes following shoulder arthroplasty.

**ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS**

*Research background*
Over the last two decades, the number of shoulder arthroplasties, including total shoulder arthroplasty (TSA), reverse total shoulder arthroplasty (rTSA), and shoulder hemiarthroplasty (HA), has increased at exponential rates. Due to satisfactory clinical and functional long-term outcomes, the number of shoulder replacements performed will continue to rise into this next decade. Additionally, these procedures are becoming more prevalent in younger and more active populations. With younger individuals who compose a significant amount of the workforce receiving shoulder replacements, patients will begin to place a higher priority on their ability to return to work following shoulder arthroplasty.

**Research motivation**

Prior studies have shown varying levels of return to work after shoulder arthroplasty based on arthroplasty type, diagnosis, and work intensity. While informative, a compilation comparing various demographics, arthroplasty types, diagnoses, and work intensities has not been performed in recent years.

**Research objectives**

The aim of the review article was to summarize return to work outcomes following TSA, rTSA, and HA, and analyze the effects of workers’ compensation status on return to work rates and ability.

**Research methods**

This systematic review and analysis was performed in accordance with Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines. A literature search regarding return to work following shoulder arthroplasty was performed using four databases through January 2021. All studies included in this review were analyzed by at least two authors. Included studies were then evaluated using the Methodological Index for Non-Randomized Studies (MINORS) checklist.
Research results

The majority of patients undergoing TSA, rTSA, or HA were able to return to work between one to four months, depending on work demand stratification. While sedentary or light demand jobs generally have higher rates of return to work, moderate or heavy demand jobs tend to have poorer rates of return. Furthermore, workers’ compensation status negatively influenced clinical outcomes following shoulder arthroplasty. Through a pooled means analysis, we proposed guidelines for the average time to return to work following TSA, rTSA, and HA.

Research conclusions

The majority of patients were able to return to work following TSA, rTSA, or HA. Understanding outcomes for rates of return to work following shoulder arthroplasty should assist surgeons and patients in managing expectations in clinical practice.

Research perspectives

Further research and analyses comparing short- and long-term outcomes following TSA, rTSA, and HA and a patients’ ability to return to work would provide tremendous benefit. Additionally, revision arthroplasty and ability to return to work may be a topic worth exploring as the average age of patients undergoing shoulder replacement is decreasing.
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