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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
the manuscript entitled "Primary Intestinal Lymphangiectasia presenting as limb Convulsions: a case report" represent a brief report with sufficient discussion. The title reflects the main subject but similar titles are present in literature. The introduction section is very brief and requires more specific information. the conclusion of the study is not specific and seems like results. keywords are also not specific. incomplete information has been given at several points such as :: patient was healthy in past" but no information for how ling. Different figures can be grouped together. figure legends needs brief heading as given in figure 2. more pictorial representation is required.
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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
This is a case report of a man presenting with limb convulsions and with laboratory markers of hypoproteinemia, hypoalbumenemia, hypocalcemia, hypomagnesemia, lymphopenia. Further investigation revealed increased parathyroid levels, decreased vit D, hypoinmunoglobulinenemia and positive fecal occult blood test in order to follow a further exploration. The presence of hypocalcemia and hypomagnesemia it seems to be compatible with the patient’s presenting symptoms as it is verified by the remission after supplemented with calcium gluconate and potassium magnesium aspartate. These findings would probably generated the parathyroid and vit D assays and the imaging of the parathyroid gland which set forward a possible hyperparathyroidism due to increased parathyroid hormone and a hypo echoic nodule in the gland. The hypoalbumenemia, hypoproteininemia and hypocalcemia made the impression that there might be a kindney dysfunction with urine protein loss and secondary hyperparathyroidism but this kidney injury was not verified by kidney function tests and increased parathyroid hormone returned to normal after correction of hyporcalcemia, pointing to a secondary cause for hyperparathyroidism. In that case, if there is also no hepatic dysfunction, one should focus on protein losing enteropathy which includes a variety of possible causes that need to be examined. For the differential diagnosis at this point one should include a gastroscopy and colonoscopy, possibly antibodies for celiac disease, β2 microglobulin for heamopoietic tumors, assays for giardia, acid Schiff for Whipple, a cardiac triplex to check for pericardial effusion, an MRI enterography to check for possible small bowel pathology etc with prioritization depending on the results of the ensuing laboratory examinations. Instead there are
inaccurate reports for “blood IBD” or “stool IBD” screening (maybe calprotectin?) and for MRI examination of an unspecified anatomy. There is no proper justification for the parathyroid nodule. Furthermore there is no temporal determination of the second battery of laboratory tests in the line of events during patient’s exploration. In text there is no description of the endoscopic findings but from the pictures it seems that there are scattered white spots on the mucosa. Biopsies showed that these are compatible with lymphatic dilatation but this is a conclusion that follows biopsies. Finally there should have been a sequence where by excluding IBD, celiac, infections, lymphoma and rheumatic diseases and by showing compatible endoscopic, pathologic and further laboratory clues (like CD4 lymphopenia, lack of fat soluble vitamins, hypoimmunoglobulienemia etc) one would have been driven to the most appropriate diagnosis which is primary intestinal lymphangiectasia which is rare in adult population with no apparent symptoms of leg edema or diarrhea. In conclusion there should be a more appropriate presentation showing the differential diagnosis and the mentality that provoked the medical actions as well as the sequence of patient’s handling by the responsible physician. There is repetition in the first and second paragraph of Discussion section of aforementioned text. There are abbreviations not properly explained as well as syntax and grammatical errors scattered in text. Furthermore one could point out the rarity of this clinical condition, the wide array of possible diagnosis which are relatively not straight forward and the necessity for vigilance even when the symptomatology is subtle.