Supplementary Table 1 Search Strategy

S.no	. Searches	Results
1	exp Pancreatic Neoplasms/	239088
2	((pancreas or pancreatic or "islet cell*") adj5 (cancer* or carcinoma* or metastas* or tumor* or tumour* or neoplas* or adenoma* or incidentaloma* or malignan*)).ti,ab.	212541
3	1 or 2	295128
4	((((endoscop* adj3 (ultrasonic or ultrasonograph* or ultrasound)) or echoendoscop* or endosonograph* or EUS) adj3 injection*) or "EUS FNI" or "Intra tumoral injection*").ti,ab.	7547
5	3 and 4	575
6	limit 5 to english language	539
7	((control* adj3 study) or (control* adj3 trial) or (randomized adj3 study) or (randomized adj3 trial) or (randomized adj3 trial) or "pragmatic clinical trial" or (random* adj1 allocat*) or (doubl* adj blind*) or (doubl* adj mask*) or (singl* adj blind*) or (singl* adj mask*) or (tripl* adj blind*) or (tripl* adj mask*) or (tripl* adj blind*) or (tripl* adj mask*) or "latin square" or placebo* or nocebo* or random* or "clinical study" or "clinical trial" or (("phase 0" or "phase 1" or "phase I" or "phase 2" or "phase II" or "phase 3" or "phase III" or "phase 4" or "phase IV") adj5 (trial or study)) or study or trial).mp,pt.	30911670
8	6 and 7	421
9	limit 8 to (conference abstract or editorial or erratum or note or addresses or autobiography or bibliography or biography or blogs or comment or dictionary or directory or interactive tutorial or interview or lectures or legal cases or legislation or news or newspaper article or overall or patient education handout or periodical index or portraits or published erratum or video-audio media or webcasts) [Limit not valid in CCTR,Embase,Ovid MEDLINE(R),Ovid MEDLINE(R) Daily Update,Ovid MEDLINE(R) PubMed not MEDLINE,Ovid MEDLINE(R) In-Process,Ovid MEDLINE(R) Publisher; records were retained]	147
10	8 not 9	274
11	remove duplicates from 10	172
12	from 11 keep 8, 13, 19, 25-29, 34-35, 38	101

Database(s): EBM Reviews - Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials June 2021, Embase 1974 to 2021 July 13, Ovid MEDLINE(R) and Epub Ahead of Print, In-Process, In-Data-Review & Other Non-Indexed Citations and Daily 1946 to July 13, 2021

Criteria	[28]	[51]	[23]	[17]	[9]	[26]	[22]	[40]	[42]	[32]	[36]
Was the study question	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
or objective clearly											
stated?											
Were eligibility/selection	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
criteria for the study											
population prespecified											
and clearly described?											
Were the participants in	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
the study representative											
of those who would be											
eligible for the											
test/service/intervention											
in the general or clinical											
population of interest?											
Were all eligible	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	N	NR	Y	N	N
participants that met the			R		R	R	R			R	R
prespecified entry criteria											
enrolled?											
Was the sample size	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
sufficiently large to											
provide confidence in the											
findings?											
Was the	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y
test/service/intervention											
clearly described and											
delivered consistently											
across the study											

population?

Were the outcome	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
measures prespecified,											
clearly defined, valid,											
reliable, and assessed											
consistently across all											
study participants?											
Were the people	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
assessing the outcomes											
blinded to the											
participants'											
exposures/interventions?											
Was the loss to follow-up	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
after baseline 20% or less?											
Were those lost to follow-											
up accounted for in the											
analysis?											
Did the statistical	N	N	Y	N	N	Y	N	N	N	N	N
methods examine											
changes in outcome											
measures from before to											
after the intervention?											
Were statistical tests done											
that provided p values											
for the pre-to-post											
changes?											

Were outcome measures	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	Y
of interest taken multiple											
times before the											
intervention and multiple											
times after the											
intervention (i.e., did											
they use an interrupted											
time-series design)?											
If the intervention was	N	N	N	NA	N	N	N	NA	N	N	N
conducted at a group	A	A	A		A	A	A		A	A	A
level (e.g., a whole											
hospital, a community,											
etc.) did the statistical											
analysis take into account											
the use of individual-											
level data to determine											
effects at the group level?											
Study Quality	G	G	G	G	G	G	F	G	G	F	G

Y: Yes; N: No; NR: Not Reported; NA: Not Applicable; G: Good; F: Fair

Supplementary Table 3 Quality assessment Controlled Studies

CRITERIA	[25]	[34]
Was the study described as randomized, a randomized trial, a	NA	Y
randomized clinical trial, or an RCT		
Was the method of randomization adequate (i.e., use of	NA	NR
randomly generated assignment)?		
Was the treatment allocation concealed (so that assignments	NA	NR
could not be predicted)?		
Were study participants and providers blinded to treatment	NA	N
group assignment?		
Were the people assessing the outcomes blinded to the	NA	Y
participants' group assignments?		
Were the groups similar at baseline on important characteristics	Y	Y
that could affect outcomes (e.g., demographics, risk factors, co-		
morbid conditions)?		
Was the overall drop-out rate from the study at endpoint 20% or	Y	Y
lower of the number allocated to treatment?		
Was the differential drop-out rate (between treatment groups) at	Y	Y
endpoint 15 percentage points or lower?		
Was there high adherence to the intervention protocols for each	Y	Y
treatment group?		
Were other interventions avoided or similar in the groups (e.g.,	Y	Y
similar background treatments)?		
Were outcomes assessed using valid and reliable measures,	Y	Y
implemented consistently across all study participants?		
Did the authors report that the sample size was sufficiently large	N	Y
to be able to detect a difference in the main outcome between		
groups with at least 80% power?		

Were outcomes reported or subgroups analyzed prespecified	Y	Y
(i.e., identified before analyses were conducted)?		
Were all randomized participants analyzed in the group to	NA	Y
which they were originally assigned, i.e., did they use an		
intention-to-treat analysis?		
Study Quality	Good	Good

Y: Yes; N: No; NR: Not Reported; NA: Not Applicable