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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
In Abstract 1- Try not to cite more references 2- “moderate heterosexual hyperplasia” What do you mean by this? In case presentation 3- The final diagnosis includes only the duodenal lesion, kindly provide the final diagnosis of both lesion under this subtitle 4- Is there a history of smoking? 5- The gall bladder lesion should be mentioned in more details including gross examination (site and size of the tumor mass) and microscopic examination of such tumor. In Discussion 6- Kindly discuss the diagnostic challenge 7- Follow up and the clinical outcome for such rare cases should be stated. In Figures 8- Figure 5: mention the stains and power and the picture does not show clearly the malignant features, kindly provide more clear picture
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Review of the manuscript: "Synchronous primary duodenal papillary adenocarcinoma and gallbladder carcinoma: an unusual case report and literature review". All Author List: Jing Chen, Ming-Yuan Zhu, Yan-Hua Huang, Zhong-Cheng Zhou, Yi-Yu Shen, Quan Zhou, Ming-Jian Fei, Fan-Chuang Kong. This manuscript is interesting us a first published case of these synchronous tumors. The title reflects the main subject of the manuscript. In the discussion chapter there is one statement which I disagree without more precision explanation. ("In locally advanced gallbladder cancer patients, hepatopancreatoduodenectomy (HPD) accompanied by extensive retropancreatic lymphadenopathy is indicated; however, this cannot be cleared without pancreatoduodenectomy.") The abstract is well structured and summarise the work described in the manuscript, and keywords reflect the focus of the manuscript. The manuscript adequately describe the background, present status and significance of the case report, but I will have some proposals: 1. summarise Figure 1 a,b,c, and d in one or two MSCT picture. 2. summarise Figure 2 a and b in one picture. 3. summarise Figure 4 a and b in one picture. 5. Figure 5 is not clear marked - proposeal to remove it. The contribution of this study is publising of the rare medical case with literature review.

Discussion: The manuscript interprets the findings adequately and appropriately, highlighting the key points concisely, clearly and logically. The findings and their applicability/relevance to the literature are stated in a clear and definite manner. The discussion is accurate. Figures, diagrams and tables are sufficient and of good quality. References: citation was adequately done in this manuscript, with the latest, important and authoritative references, and without self-cite, omit, incorrectly cite and/or...
over-cite references. The quality of manuscript organization and presentation is good. The style, language and grammar need to go under native speaker check.
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