Dear Editor,

Thank you very much for your decision letter and advice on our manuscript (Manuscript #76164) entitled “Effect of six-step comprehensive deswelling therapy combined with foam granule bandage in the management of upper extremity lymphedema pressure after breast cancer surgical intervention”. We also thank the reviewers for the constructive comments and suggestions. We have revised the manuscript accordingly, and all amendments are indicated by red font in the revised manuscript. In addition, our point-by-point responses to the comments are listed below this letter.

This revised manuscript has been edited and proofread by Medjaden, Inc.

We hope that our revised manuscript is now acceptable for publication in your journal and look forward to hearing from you soon.

With best wishes,
Your sincerely,

Li-Juan Zhang
First of all, we would like to express our sincere gratitude to the reviewers for their constructive and positive comments.

Replies to Reviewer 1

Specific Comments
Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)
Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)
Conclusion: Minor revision

Specific Comments to Authors: Dear authors, the revised version is complete but you must expand your conclusion and discussion section.

Response: Thank you for the constructive comments. The Discussion and Conclusion section have been expanded. See lines 301 to 371 on pages 15 to 18.

Replies to Reviewer 2

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)
Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)
Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: Institutional Review Board Approval Form or Document is not in English.

Response: We have provided the English version:
Replies to Reviewer 3:

Scientific Quality: Grade D (Fair)

Language Quality: Grade C (A great deal of language polishing)

Conclusion: Major revision

The details are as follows:

1. “Major point You described the lymphatic drainage procedures in great detail in this paper. However, there are no photos or illustrations of the procedures.”

2. “If you would like to emphasize the technical procedures, this paper needs some photos or illustrations. If you would like to emphasize the results, the details of the procedure should be described more simply.”

Response: Thank you for the constructive comments. The text has been revised according to your suggestions.

3. “Minor points In the Table 2 Both arms are expressed as control group. Is the p value<0.01 true in the post-treatment extracellular moisture ratio? ”

Response: This point has been corrected on page 27.

4. In the Discussion • The sentence “Upper limb lymphedema is one of the most common and serious complications of breast cancer surgery.” was already described in the Introduction.

Response: Thank you for the constructive comments. We have deleted this text.
5 • The expression “simple sentinel lymph node biopsy (sentinel lymph node biopsy, SLNB) SLNB group” is strange. • The sentence “The incidence of breast cancer associated lymphoedema in 2 groups and the incidence of 2 years BCRL in four groups were compared.” is unnecessary.

Response: Thank you for the constructive comments. We have deleted this text.

6 • The sentence “The results revealed that although the progress of radiotherapy technology will bring about the improvement of curative effect and the decrease of normal tissue injury, it will increase the risk of upper limb lymphedema in breast cancer patients.” is very strange.

Response: Thank you for the constructive comments. The text in lines 308 to 310 on page 15 has been revised.

7. • The sentence “Upper limb lymphedema is caused by lymphatic system damage that results in abnormal accumulation of fluid thereof, in tissue spaces in the ipsilateral upper arm; in turn, this leads to lymph flow obstruction and swelling, pain, fatigue, secondary infection, and extremity dysfunctions in the affected upper limb.” Is also described in the Introduction.

Response: Thank you. We have deleted this repetitive text.

Authors are requested to send their revised manuscript to a professional English language editing company or a native English-speaking expert to polish the manuscript further. When the authors submit the subsequent polished manuscript to us, they must provide a new language certificate along with the manuscript.

Response: The updated draft of the manuscript has been re-edited, and we have provided an updated language editing certificate.
EDITORIAL OFFICE’S COMMENTS

Authors must revise the manuscript according to the Editorial Office’s comments and suggestions, which are listed below:

(1) Science editor:

This study explored the effectiveness of a six-step comprehensive deswelling therapy involving a foam granule bandage for the treatment of upper extremity lymphedema pressure after breast cancer surgical intervention. It concluded that this kind of therapy can effectively reduce the edema of upper extremity, reduce the local tissue congestion and promote the lymph circulation. I find it a well-structured interesting study. Nevertheless, there are a few points that may deserve some revisions.

1. The Informed Consent Form should be prepared in the official language of the authors’ country to the system.

Response: Thank you for the constructive comments. The relevant informed consent form is provided:

![Informed Consent Form]

2. The format of the table should be a three-line table.

Response: The table format has been changed to a three line table.
(2) Company editor-in-chief:

I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, the full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Clinical Cases, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office’s comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. Before its final acceptance, the author(s) must provide the Signed Consent Form(s) or Document(s). For example, authors from China should upload the Chinese version of the document, authors from Italy should upload the Italian version of the document, authors from Germany should upload the Deutsch version of the document, and authors from the United States and the United Kingdom should upload the English version of the document, etc.

Response: The necessary document has been provided.
The title of the manuscript is too long and must be shortened to meet the requirement of the journal (Title: The title should be no more than 18 words).

**Response:** The title has been shortened accordingly.

Authors are required to provide standard three-line tables, that is, only the top line, bottom line, and column line are displayed, while other table lines are hidden. The contents of each cell in the table should conform to the editing specifications, and the lines of each row or column of the table should be aligned. Do not use carriage returns or spaces to replace lines or vertical lines and do not segment cell content.

**Response:** The table format has been changed to a three line table.

Please upload the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s).

**Response:** There was no funding for this research.