PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Virology*

Manuscript NO: 79024

Title: Musculoskeletal complications in long COVID-19: A systematic review

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06323782

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Iran

Author's Country/Territory: India

Manuscript submission date: 2022-07-28

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-08-03 14:02

Reviewer performed review: 2022-08-04 07:59

Review time: 17 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[ ] Yes [Y] No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [ ] Anonymous [Y] Onymous

statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

-

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [ ] No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous

statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Please make the following changes: 1. The study's title must be changed to be guided by the study's goal/objectives, so that the title, after the amendment, does not exceed twenty words and is free of abbreviations, in accordance with the distinguished title specifications. 2. Considering displaying the most important study results in the study abstract. 3. Rewrite the study's introduction to include more references that are current. 4. Keeping in mind that the final paragraph of the introduction should demonstrate the research problem and its treatment within the context of the study's objective. 5. The need to re-review the search results to avoid errors and ensure that the results are properly monitored. 6. Side headings should be avoided in the discussion section. 7. Work on including the study's limitations and strengths, as well as the recommendations, in the final paragraph of the discussion. 8. The way the conclusion was written was incorrect for a scientific paper abstract. The conclusion should be in the form of a single brief paragraph demonstrating the achievement of the goal from none within the framework of the study's findings, with no side headings. 9. Please work on updating the references and excluding what is no longer current in them to improve the research. 10. Considering the linguistic revision after adding the arbitrators' amendments, as well as avoiding typographical and spelling errors. Good luck,