

We would like to thank your kindness for reviewing our manuscript. You have provided detailed and highly constructive comments and thoughtful suggestions to our work, which have helped us significantly improve the manuscript. We try our best to revise our manuscript according to your constructive comments. Following are our point-by-point responses. Thank you very much.

The research is interesting and well written, but there are areas where I would like to recommend corrections to the authors.

1. In the abstract part, it is better to say more studies explored instead of saying more and more studies explored. Moreover, remove causes because it shows similar issues to associated conditions.

Thank you very much for your suggestion, and we revised it as follows: More studies explored the prevalence, causes, associated conditions, and therapeutic strategies of narcolepsy, and with an increasing focus on understanding narcolepsy's prevalence, associated conditions, and therapeutic strategies, there's a notable absence of bibliometric analyses summarizing trends in research and identifying emerging areas of focus within this field.

2. "The incidence of narcolepsy is estimated to range between 200 and 500 cases per million people." This paragraph should be placed after you finish the definition, types, causes, diagnosis, and treatment modalities of narcolepsy. In addition, in the background, it is important to write the consequences of narcolepsy in terms of magnitude and severity.

Thank you very much for your suggestions, and we added the consequences of narcolepsy in terms of magnitude and severity as follows: The consequences of narcolepsy are significant in both magnitude and severity, impacting nearly every aspect of a person's life. Frequent episodes of excessive daytime sleepiness can severely impair daily functioning, affecting work performance, school activities, and social interactions. This can lead to issues like difficulty concentrating, memory lapses, and a decreased ability to complete tasks efficiently. Cataplexy, which is caused by narcolepsy, is a sudden loss of muscle tone triggered by strong emotions, adds further severity, potentially causing injuries from falls and contributing to social stigma and embarrassment.

Narcolepsy also heightens the risk of accidents, such as car crashes, due to sudden sleep episodes. The cumulative impact on mental health is substantial, often resulting in anxiety, depression, and a diminished quality of life. Without effective management, these consequences can profoundly disrupt personal independence and overall well-being.

3. On page 4, “Therefore, our research aims to conduct a bibliometric analysis to pinpoint the leading edges and focal points in narcolepsy research,” it is better to say. Therefore, this research aims to conduct a bibliometric analysis to pinpoint the leading edges and focal points in narcolepsy research.

Thank you very much for your advice, and we rewrite it.

4. On page 4, “Our search was inclusive of all types of publications, imposing no restrictions on language,” it is better to say the search was inclusive of all types of publications, imposing no restrictions on language.

Thank you very much for your advice, and we rewrite it.

5. Please thoroughly revise your methodology section; it does not clearly show the data collection procedure and the way you analyzed the data.

Thank you very much for your advice, and we try our best to rewrite them as follows:

Search Strategy

As the most reliable citation-based database commonly used for bibliometric analysis, WoSCC was utilized in our study to download literature, and the search term was TS="narcolepsy". The search was inclusive of all types of publications, imposing no restrictions on language, the documents published between Jan 1, 2000 and Dec 31, 2023 were included.

Data Collection and Bibliometric Analysis

We downloaded the "Plain Text" versions of relevant records related to narcolepsy from WoSCC. For our analysis, we used the WoSCC literature analysis tool to identify the top 20 highly cited publications as well as the ten leading countries/regions, journals, authors, and institutions. Using VOSviewer, we created visual representations to illustrate the relationships among

publications, including bibliometric networks of co-authorship for authors, institutions, countries, and journals, along with keyword co-occurrence.

6. According to the figures, figure legends should be SMART, and at the same time, the legend should be below the figures.

In table 1, better if you write the headings in this way.

Author findings number ranking citation h inde

In table 2, better if you arrange in this way.

Journal number ranking citation h- index

Table 3, could you arrange in this way?

Journal finding year ranking citation hi index.

Thank you very much for your suggestions, and we revised them.

7. All the tables' titles should be specific, measurable, and realistic and time bound so that everybody can easily understand the information that the tables and the figures carry.

Thank you very much for your suggestions, and we revised them.