

### **1. Lack of Critical Synthesis**

*Thank you very much for your valuable comment regarding the need for a more critical synthesis.*

We appreciate your insight, and we have revised the manuscript to incorporate a more analytical discussion of the cited studies, including their design strengths and limitations.

### **2. Originality and Contribution to the Field**

*We sincerely appreciate your thoughtful suggestion on enhancing the manuscript's originality.*

In response, we have added a new section proposing a conceptual approach to managing PM in IBD patients, aiming to provide a clearer clinical and research direction.

### **3. Redundancy and Structural Flow**

*Thank you for your constructive feedback on the manuscript's structure and flow.*

We have reviewed and refined the organization of the "Management and Treatment" and "Discussion" sections to reduce redundancy and improve logical transitions throughout.

### **4. Abstract and Keywords**

*Many thanks for highlighting the shortcomings in the abstract and keyword selection.*

We have rewritten the abstract to better reflect the structure of the manuscript and have updated the keywords to include relevant terms such as "HIPEC," "CRS," and "surveillance."

### **5. Language and Style**

*We are grateful for your detailed observations regarding language and tone.*

In response, we have undertaken a careful revision of the manuscript to address grammatical issues and ensure consistency in academic tone throughout.

### **6. Tables and Figures**

*Thank you kindly for pointing out the needed improvements in our use of tables.*

We have revised the tables to include explanatory footnotes, clarified

abbreviations, indicated statistical significance where applicable, and enhanced integration of tables within the text.

## **7. References and Citations**

*We appreciate your helpful comment about updating and contextualizing our references.*

We have added several recent studies to support and update our discussion, and we have improved the alignment between claims and citations to ensure more targeted engagement with the literature.

## **Final Note**

*We are thankful for your comprehensive and constructive review.*

The manuscript has undergone a substantial revision in line with your comments, and we hope it now better reflects the depth and originality required for publication.