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COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
This is a very interesting case report. I have 2 major comments: 1) At the relaparoscopy, why did the authors not perform a feeding jejunostomy? 2) The description of the final rendez-vous procedure is rather sketchy and would benefit from a video of the actual procedure.
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Article covers an interesting topic and is well written. A Step-by-Step figure describing this technique could be extremely helpful for the Readers.