



## BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

### ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 20058

**Title:** Nuclear magnetic resonance based metabolomics and liver diseases: Recent advances and future clinical applications

**Reviewer's code:** 00000663

**Reviewer's country:** Italy

**Science editor:** Jing Yu

**Date sent for review:** 2015-05-31 13:22

**Date reviewed:** 2015-06-05 21:52

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search: <input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search: <input type="checkbox"/> The same title <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor			

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting review, which helped me to clarify a few concepts I had never clearly understood. The three examples they provide to support the use of metabolomics in systems medicine are relevant, and among the most commonly used to support this approach. I have very few suggestions to improve readability: 1) in the introduction, the authors discuss the several potential techniques providing the metabolomics analysis. It would be important to have a comparative table summarizing the advantages and disadvantages of the different techniques at a glance. 2) The authors do not discuss the results in terms of superiority compared with standard analysis. Hopefully, the metabolomics approach might improve diagnosis and prognostic accuracy, but at a cost in terms of analytical burden and time which is not irrelevant. Hey provide several examples: how does metabolomics compare with the standard analytical approach in these examples? How much is the cost of a single analysis? How long does it take from bed to benchside and back?