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Endoscopic extraction of a submucosal esophageal foreign body piercing into the thoracic aorta: A case report

Zhi-Cao Chen, Gui-Quan Chen, Xiao-Chun Chen, Chang-Ye Zheng, Wei-Dong Cao, Gang-Hao Deng

Abstract

BACKGROUND
Aorto-esophageal injury is a rare but life-threatening complication of esophageal foreign bodies, which typically requires open surgery. The best way to treat patients with this condition remains unclear. To date, few reports have described an aortic wall directly penetrated by a sharp foreign body. Here, we present a rare case of a fishbone completely embedded in the esophageal muscularis propria and directly piercing the aorta, which was successfully treated by endoscopy and thoracic endovascular aortic repair (TEVAR).

CASE SUMMARY
We report the case of a 71-year-old man with a 1-d history of retrosternal pain after eating fish. No abnormal findings were observed by the emergency esophagoscopy. Computed tomography showed a fishbone that had completely pierced through the esophageal mucosa and into the aorta. The patient refused to undergo surgery for personal reasons and was discharged. Five days after the onset of illness, he was readmitted to our hospital. Endoscopy examination showed a nodule with a smooth surface in the middle of the esophagus. Endoscopic ultrasonography confirmed a fishbone under the nodule. After performing TEVAR, we incised the esophageal mucosa under an endoscope and successfully extracted the fishbone. The patient has remained in good condition for 1 year.

CONCLUSION
Incising the esophageal wall under endoscope and extracting a foreign body after TEVAR may be a feasible option for cases such as ours.
Key Words: Endoscopy; Esophageal foreign body; Esophageal perforation; Aortic penetration; Case report

©The Author(s) 2022. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: Aorto-esophageal injury is a rare but life-threatening complication of esophageal foreign bodies, which typically requires open surgery. The best way to treat patients with this condition remains unclear. To date, few reports have described an aortic wall directly penetrated by a sharp foreign body. Here, we present a rare case of a fishbone completely embedded in the esophageal muscularis propria and directly piercing the aorta, which was successfully treated by endoscopy and thoracic endovascular aortic repair.

Citation: Chen ZC, Chen GQ, Chen XC, Zheng CY, Cao WD, Deng GH. Endoscopic extraction of a submucosal esophageal foreign body piercing into the thoracic aorta: A case report. World J Clin Cases 2022; 10(8): 2484-2490
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INTRODUCTION
Esophageal foreign body (EFB) is a common clinical emergency. In the United States, more than 100000 cases of esophageal foreign bodies occur each year[1]. Because of diet habits, animal bones (such as fish bones, poultry bones, etc.) are among the most commonly encountered foreign bodies in China, and most occur in people over 50 years of age[2]. Aorto-esophageal injury is a rare but life-threatening complication of EFB, which typically requires open surgery[3-6]. The best way to treat patients with this condition remains unclear. To date, there have been few reports of a sharp foreign body in the esophagus penetrating the thoracic aorta[7-16], possibly because this type of injury is extremely rare, and most patients do not receive timely treatment.

Here, we present a case of patient in who a fishbone had completely pierced through the esophageal mucosa and into the aorta; the EFB was successfully extracted by means of endoscopy combined with thoracic endovascular aortic repair (TEVAR).

CASE PRESENTATION

Chief complaints
A 71-year-old man presented to our hospital on October 12, 2020, with a 1-d history of retrosternal pain after eating fish.

History of present illness
The patient did not have fever, dysphagia, hematemesis, hematochezia, melena, or other symptoms.

History of past illness
The patient had no previous medical history.

Personal and family history
The patient had a free personal and family history.

Physical examination
On physical examination, there were no abnormal findings.

Laboratory examinations
Blood tests showed no obvious abnormalities.

Imaging examinations
Emergency thoracic computed tomography (CT) (Figure 1A) revealed a high-density shadow of an EFB (highly suspected to be a fishbone) in the middle thoracic section of the esophagus (eighth thoracic vertebra) involving the wall of the thoracic aorta. The patient was admitted to the department of Ear, Nose, and Throat, and no abnormalities were observed in the esophagus after a careful esophagoscopy examination.
**Figure 1** Computed tomography images and thoracic endovascular aortic repair images. A: Emergency thoracic computed tomography (CT) angiography revealed a fishbone in the esophagus involving the wall of the thoracic aorta; B: Enhanced CT angiography revealed that the fishbone had directly penetrated the thoracic aorta after esophagoscopic examination; C: On October 18, 2020, we successfully performed thoracic endovascular aortic repair (TEVAR); D: CT angiography after TEVAR showed that the fishbone was pressed against the edge of the blood vessel.

On October 13, 2020, enhanced CT angiography (Figure 1B) revealed that an EFB had directly penetrated the thoracic aorta.

**MULTIDISCIPLINARY EXPERT CONSULTATION**

Based on previous reports and our experience, our initial plan was to perform TEVAR and then consult with a multidisciplinary team for the next steps. Prior to any treatment, we fully informed the patient of the risk of surgery. It is common for patients and their families to feel hesitant and inquire about alternative treatment options, including by consult with other hospitals. Our patient wished to do such, and was discharged in accordance on October 13, 2020. The other hospital he attended provided an open surgery plan, which the patient chose not to accept. Ultimately, the patient chose to be re-admitted to our hospital, which occurred on October 17, 2020. At that time, we carried out the multidisciplinary team discussion, which led to the choice of a minimally invasive protocol to remove the foreign body using an endoscope after the placement of a thoracic aortic stent.

**FINAL DIAGNOSIS**

EFB penetrating the thoracic aorta.

**TREATMENT**

On October 18, 2020, we successfully performed TEVAR (Figure 1C) with placement of an aortic stent.
causing damage to the artery. To accurately locate the fishbone, we performed endoscopic ultrasonography. In the planned position and smooth surface. Endoscopic ultrasonography (Figure 2B) was immediately performed with a 12-MHz probe, revealing a “bone-like image” protruding beyond the muscularis propria under the nodule. Subsequently, endoscopic foreign body removal was performed (Figure 2C–2E) with CO₂ gas. First, the nodule was punctured with an injection needle; a small amount of yellow and white pus could be seen. After injection of sodium hyaluronate diluted into the mucous membrane of the superior side, a dual knife and IT knife were used to incise the nodule along the center and the inferior side to the deep part of the esophageal muscularis. The head end of the fishbone (approximately 1.5 mm in length) was found on the distal side of the nodule, and it was pulled out smoothly by use of biopsy forceps.

The length of the fishbone was approximately 22 mm (Figure 2F), and there was no breakage. There was also no obvious pus or bleeding at the excision location. As such, the wound was carefully and thoroughly irrigated and then loosely sealed with hemostatic clips. A gastric tube was placed for postoperative drainage, and the patient was continued on antibiotics for the postoperative recovery period. Immediate-postoperative CT scan showed no sign of EFB in the esophagus and mediastinum or aorta, serving as confirmation of successful removal of the fishbone (Figure 3A).

On November 2, 2020, the patient was re-examined with a gastroscope, which showed that the wounds had healed well (Figure 3B), and the gastric tube was removed.

OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP
Currently, it has been 1 year since the procedure, and the patient remains in good condition.

DISCUSSION
Aorto-esophageal injury is a rare but life-threatening complication of EFB, which typically requires open surgery. The invasiveness and high cost of that treatment, however, are remarkable determinants. At the same time, the complication rate of EFB removal and secondary outcome/injury increases with the increase of retention time[17,18]. Indeed, the mortality rate can reach 40%–60% if aorto-esophageal fistula arises[19] and such cases need to be treated as soon as possible. With the development of technology, there have been reports of successful minimally invasive treatment of similar patients in recent years. Hanif et al[13] reported a case of a 63-year-old man with a 2.7 cm-long chicken bone penetrating the esophageal wall and transversing into the aorta; treatment via an endoscopic approach with simultaneous endovascular stent-graft repair of the aorta was successful. Choi et al[10] reported a case of a 31-year-old man with a fishbone-induced aortic rupture that was successfully treated with an endovascular stent-graft, with the patient remaining in good condition at the 7-mo follow-up. Xi et al[15] reported a case of a sharp foreign object-induced aortic rupture with mediastinitis and pseudoaneurysm, which was successfully treated by exploratory thoracotomy after endovascular stent-graft repair. Zeng et al[8] reported a case similar to ours, in which a foreign body had lodged in the esophagus and caused a consequent aortic rupture; that case was successfully treated by endovascular stent-graft repair and endoscopic procedure. Ruan et al[20] summarized their experience of 12 patients with EFB combined with aortic injury, 11 of which were successfully removed after TEVAR. These reports highlight that when EFB combined with aortic injury has occurred, it has been safe to remove the EFB after TEVAR.

It is also rare that an EFB is embedded in the wall of the esophagus. Wang et al[21] had reported such a case and they extracted the fishbone using the endoscopic submucosal dissection method; however, the fishbone had not injured the aorta, as in our case.

Our case was unique, with the combination of an EFB embedded in the esophageal wall and causing aortic injury, which increased the difficulty and risk of extraction by standard means of an endoscope. We speculate that the reason why we did not see the fishbone during our initial esophagoscopy is that most fishbones puncturing the esophagus do not transverse it or subsequently puncture other organs, and the foreign body itself was relatively small. The nodules observed by the gastroscope may have resulted from the fishbone being ejected from a blood vessel after the indwelling aortic stent was placed, ultimately bouncing back to the esophageal wall. Consequently, local inflammation would have resulted in tissue edema and formed a nodule after 5 d. In multidisciplinary discussions, we established the following four goals: prevention of hemorrhaging, removal of the EFB (suspected fishbone), repair of wounds, and control of infection. The safety of removing the EFB (fishbone) by endoscopy increased after successfully repairing the thoracic aorta with a graft-stent. CT results showed that the fishbone had been pushing outside the lumen of the aorta, which increased our confidence in removing it without causing damage to the artery. To accurately locate the fishbone, we performed endoscopic ultrasono-
Figure 2 Images of endoscopy operation. A: Endoscopic examination was performed on October 21, 2020, and a bulge was identified; B: Endoscopic ultrasonography showed a strong echo light cluster protruding beyond the muscularis propria under the bulge; C: The nodule was incised with a dual knife and IT knife to the deep part of the esophageal muscularis; D: A suspicious fishbone with a length of about 1.5 mm was found on the distal side of the nodule; E: The fishbone was pulled out with biopsy forceps; F: The total length of the fishbone was approximately 22 mm.

Figure 3 Images of re-examined computed tomography after the procedure and gastroscope before discharge. A: Computed tomography scan after the procedure show no foreign body in the esophagus and Mediastinum or aorta, which confirmed that we successfully removed the fish bone; B: Re-examined gastroscope before discharge showed that the wounds had healed well.

After removal of the fishbone, preventing infection was the next challenge. To prevent further infection after the surgery, we administered imipenem (1 g intravenous drip twice a day for 10 d) for anti-infection treatment. The patient was discharged on November 2, 2020 and remains in good health.
condition as of the writing of this case report (1 year after the procedure).

There are some limitations to our report that should be considered before applying this knowledge to other cases. In principle, EFBs should be treated urgently (recommended: ≤ 24 h), because the longer duration of presence, the higher the incidence of complications\cite{17,18}. The fishbone in our patient had been retained for 5 d without manifestation of other serious complications, which may have been due to its very small size and the timely application of antibiotics. However, it is still unclear whether the location, shape and size of any foreign body will affect the success rate of TEVAR.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, aortic injury caused by an EFB can be life-threatening. In rare conditions, the EFB will be embedded in the esophageal wall and pierce into the aorta. Our case suggested that incising the esophageal wall and extracting the foreign body after TEVAR may be a feasible option for this kind of EFB. But, the appropriate timing of the procedure and whether the size and location of foreign bodies in the esophagus affect successful treatment remain unclear.
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