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I have nothing against Editorials, which usually directly highlight to some interesting part of selected manuscript, or they critically comment results, or conclusions, but this is only short general comment. Now everybody can write that they agree with results, or they principally like results, or conclusions. So finally I just would like to suggest to authors to write short review to this topic, or short communication and here compare their results with this paper, including another important articles dealing with malignancy and celiac disease. That article can be accepted for publication and can be also attractive for readers.
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