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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is not an interesting manuscript. Authors cannot succeed to present their idea in a clear way adding information to the existing literature. What are the original findings of this manuscript?
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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this review, the authors provide an overview of the current available evidence on bowel preparation formulations, specifically evaluated in IBD. The theme of the study is interesting and the paper is well written. However, the authors should address the following points. 1. It has been often experienced and a critical issue that the disease recurrence occurs in IBD patients after colonoscopy examination. Thus, I suggest that the authors show the disease recurrence rate in each study in Table 1. 2. It appears that the text is somewhat redundant. I suggest that the authors shorten the text, if possible. 3. I can’t find the full spelling of BBPS (p14) in the text.