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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
The case report is very interesting. However, I've detected a few issues: 1. 132-133 lines RAML and angiomyolipomas. Please remove one. 2. Figure 1. It could be nice if you put an arrow showing the lesion. Picture B, please write the phase, not the intravenous period. In the C image, you are writing contrast-enhanced, but in D, you are not mentioning the enhancement. Please clarify. 3. The manuscript needs extensive native English editing.
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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
The case is of interest, although it would be easier to read if the whole story would be written in a continuous flow rather than as different headings and paragraphs. I would also recommend to discuss the risks of end stage renal disease, as total nephrectomy is not even always recommended for highly aggressive tumors as urothelial carcinomas, therefore a proposed algorithm on the basis of the radiological appearances, dimensions and general patient conditions could be useful to the readership. For instance, there could also be the possibility of auto-transplantation after backtable removal.
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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
The current case report has addressed well the extremely rare solitary fibrous tumor. Study design and presentation of the results are quite appropriate for the scope of the manuscript. However, there are some issues and questions that should be addressed in the study. 1- Previous published case reports on clinicopathologic features of renal solitary fibrous tumors can be tabulated 2- Other benign conditions that mimic renal pelvis neoplasm could enrich your manuscript. (doi: 10.1097/MD.0000000000020851.) 3- Please add appropriate references to sentences below -P9 Line 158: “Metastasis may occur in the lungs, liver, and bones” -P9 Line 159: “There are also reports of retroperitoneal recurrence”