



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

Manuscript NO: 102998

Title: Initial experience with ultrafine choledochoscopy combined with low-dose atropine for the treatment of Oddi intersphincter stones

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer’s code: 07965858

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: PhD, Professor

Professional title:

Reviewer’s Country/Territory: China

Author’s Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2024-11-04

Reviewer chosen by: Hong-Xin Jiang

Reviewer accepted review: 2024-11-27 12:38

Reviewer performed review: 2024-12-05 03:09

Review time: 7 Days and 14 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1.In the case of the patient without cholecystolithiasis, this article discusses whether it is appropriate to perform surgery for common bile duct stones after cholecystectomy. 2.The success rate of this paper is not 100%, and the authors mentioned that 5 cases did not apply the method proposed in this paper. 3.It is understandable that the number of such cases is small, and we hope that the authors will collect more cases and compare this kind of surgical method with ERCP. 4.It is hoped that the author can add imaging pictures or MRCP pictures. 5.This study suggests that ultrafine choledochoscopy combined with low-dose atropine is effective and safe in the treatment of Oddi intersphincter stone, with a high surgical success rate, a low incidence of postoperative complications, and a low risk of stone recurrence. This method provides a new idea and choice for minimally invasive treatment of biliary1calculi, and has a good clinical application prospect.Although the results of the study indicate that ultrafine choledochoscopy combined with low-dose atropine shows a satisfactory effect in the treatment of Oddi intersphincter stone, the sample size of the study is relatively small and there is a lack of control group. It is necessary to increase the sample size and set the



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: office@baishideng.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

control group to further verify the effectiveness and safety of this technology. In addition, the optimal dosage and timing of atropine need to be further explored to optimize the treatment regimen. In this study, Oddi intersphincter stone was successfully removed in all the 17 patients by using ultrafine choledochoscope combined with low-dose atropine, with the success rate of 100%.

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

Manuscript NO: 102998

Title: Initial experience with ultrafine choledochoscopy combined with low-dose atropine for the treatment of Oddi intersphincter stones

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 07965858

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: PhD, Professor

Professional title:

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2024-11-04

Reviewer chosen by: Huo Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2025-01-11 09:53

Reviewer performed review: 2025-01-12 13:10

Review time: 1 Day and 3 Hours



Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Y Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Y Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Y Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation
Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Y Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Y Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Y Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input type="checkbox"/> Y Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Y No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. Unclear logic; 2. The data are not clear 3. The topic of "Signed Informed Consent Form(s) or Document(s)" is different from that of article research; 4. Why your "Authorship & Conflicts of Interest Statement", At the bottom right is "ASJSUR Authorship & Conflicts of Interest Statement"



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: office@baishideng.com

https://www.wjgnet.com