



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology*

Manuscript NO: 82075

Title: F-box and leucine-rich repeat 6 promotes gastric cancer progression via the promotion of epithelial-mesenchymal transition

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06335490

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: Doctor, MD

Professional title: Chief Physician, Doctor, Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-12-04

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-12-04 14:29

Reviewer performed review: 2022-12-05 08:59

Review time: 18 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a well-designed paper. It research the role of FBXL6 in the progression of gastric cancer in vitro and in vivo. However, some minor revision need to be performed before publication. 1. The Statistical methods of Bioinformatic analysis ought to be described more detailedly. 2. The contents of Table1 should be presented in the form of a forest map for better reading.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology*

Manuscript NO: 82075

Title: F-box and leucine-rich repeat 6 promotes gastric cancer progression via the promotion of epithelial-mesenchymal transition

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06357700

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-12-04



Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-12-05 09:58

Reviewer performed review: 2022-12-18 12:45

Review time: 13 Days and 2 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a relevant study assessing the association of FBXL6 expression and GC tissues and cells. The Manuscript is overall fairly well written, however the following amendments are required before proceeding further.

- Title: Line 8: “ Meng et al...” what is it?
- Abstract: the conclusion of the present study is missing. The authors mentioned the potential implication only.
- Method: Is Dr. Ying’s laboratory an official name of the institute or the laboratory? If yes, keep it, otherwise, discard “Dr. Ying’s”.
- Results: To improve the readability of the paper, it is advisable to put effect size, 95% CIs, alongside the P-value.
- Results: Please also clearly indicate both significant and nonsignificant changes. Without effect sizes and P-values, the conclusion sentence at the end of each paragraph is very difficult to follow.
- Results: “we attempted to investigate and elucidate the relationship between FBXL6 and EMT signaling pathway.”. The aim of



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

the study should be in the Introduction section but not in the Results section.
-Discussion: Line 352: "loss of FBXL6 reduces the growth" of what? -Discussion: Line
370: "Recent studies suggest that FBPs play a vital part in tumorigenesis metastasis,... ".
Do you mean tumorigenesis AND metastasis? -Should be "Yang et al. noted..." instead
of "Yang noted..." -Conclusion: please remove "possibly" and also, "the first report"
from conclusion. Because this is not the conclusion of the study. Conclusion focuses on
the results and implications of the study ONLY. I believe that the aim of this study was
not to prove that the present study was the first of its kind. -Conclusion: important but
nonsignificant results should also be mentioned in the Conclusion. -Grammatical
mistakes throughout. It is advisable to use a professional English editing service or
please revise them carefully.