Appendix 1 Search strategies

Appendix 1 Search strategies:

((Neoplasia OR Neoplasias OR Neoplasm OR Neoplasms OR Tumors OR Tumor OR Cancer OR Cancers OR Malignancy OR Malignancies) AND (Biliary Tract OR Biliary Tree OR Biliary System OR Bile Duct OR Bile Ducts)) OR (Bile Duct Neoplasms OR Bile Duct Neoplasm OR Bile Duct Cancer OR Bile Duct Cancers OR Biliary Tract Neoplasm OR Biliary Tract Cancer OR Biliary Tract Cancers)) AND ((Prostheses and Implants) OR Prosthetic OR Implants OR Implant OR Prostheses OR Prosthesis OR Endoprostheses OR Stent OR Stents).

Appendix 2 The quality of evidence was evaluated by GRADE criteria

	Certainty assessment								Summary of findings				
							Study event rates (%)		Relative effect (95% CI)	Anticipated absolute effects			
Participants (studies) Follow up	(studies) Kisk of Inconsistency 1	Indirectness I	Imprecision	Publication bias	Overall certainty of evidence	With Metal Stent	With Plastic Stent	Risk with Metal Stent		Risk difference with Plastic Stent			
COMPLICAT	COMPLICATIONS												
930 (10 RCTs)	very serious a,b	serious ^c	not serious	not serious	none	⊕○○○ VERY LOW	65/432 (15.0%)	65/498 (13.1%)	RR 0.80 (0.58 to 1.10)	150 per 1.000	30 fewer per 1.000 (from 63 fewer to 15 more)		
STENT DISF	STENT DISFUNCTION												
1007 (11 RCTs)	very serious a,b,d	serious ^c	not serious	not serious	none	⊕○○○ VERY LOW	220/465 (47.3%)	123/542 (22.7%)	RR 0.50 (0.42 to 0.60)	473 per 1.000	237 fewer per 1.000 (from 274 fewer to 189 fewer)		

	Certainty assessment									Summary of findings					
SURVIVAL	1														
610 (6 RCTs)	serious a,d	serious ^c	not serious	not serious	s none	⊕⊕⊜ LOW		272	338	-	The mean SURVIVAL was 0	MD 10.33 lower (18.18 lower to 2.47 lower)			
DRAINAG	E SUCCES	SS						1		1					
692 (8 RCTs)	serious a	not serious	not serious	not serious	s none	⊕⊕⊕ MODER		288/31 (92.0%	-		920 per 1.000	18 more per 1.000 (from 18 fewer to 64 more)			
REINTERV	ENTIONS	6 (DICHOTOM	OUS VARIABI	LES)	-	,		1		1		•			
443 (4 RCTs)	very serious a	serious ^c	not serious	not serious	none	⊕○○○ VERY LOW		-	41/217 (18.9%)	RR 0.36 (0.27 to 0.48)	535 per 1.000	343 few per 1.00 (from 39 fewer to 278 fewer			

REINTERVENTIONS (CONTINUOUS VARIABLES)

		Certainty asse		Summary of findings						
176 very serious a,b	not serious	not serious	not serious	none	⊕⊕○○ LOW	88	88	-	The mean REINTERVENTIONS was 0	MD 0.67 lower (0.85 lower to 0.5 lower)

TIME FOR STENT DISFUNCTION

710 very serious e not serious none © OO 323 387 (7 RCTs) serious a,d	-	The mean TIME FOR STENT DISFUNCTION was 0	higher
---	---	---	--------

^a Inappropriate randomization; ^b Intention to treat analysis; ^c Heterogeneity > 50%; ^d Lost to follow up > 20%; ^e Heterogeneity > 75%. CI: Confidence interval; RR: Risk ratio; MD: Mean difference.

Supplementary Table 1 Etiological profiles for the cause of biliary obstruction (not related)

Author	Year of publication	N° of patients	Cholangioc arcinoma	Pancreatic tumor	Papilae tumor	Gallbladder cancer	Metastatic lymphnode	Other
Davis et al.	1992	105	-	43/50	6/6	-	-	-
Knyrim et al.	1993	62	1/1	21/22	2/1	-	-	7/7 lymphoma
Prat et al.	1998	105	5/16	25/40	0/3	-	4/7	-
Kaassis et al.	2003	118	10/8	45/43	-	-	8/7	1/1 neuroendocrine tumor
Katsinelos et al.	2006	47	4/4	12/13	2/3	-	5/4	
Soderlund et al.	2006	100	5/4	40/38	1/1	-	-	2/5 metastatic disease and 0/1 neuroendocrine tumor
Isayama et al.	2011	120	-	55/58	-	-	-	-
Moses et al.	2013	85	0/2	29/29	1/3	1/1	-	4/3 metastatic disease, 1/3 ampullary tumor e 40/39 biliary duct
Schmidt et al.	2015	37	-	12/12	3/0	-	-	3/1 extra-hepatic, 9/8 metastatic disease and 0/3 other
Walter et al.	2015	219	-	181	-	-	-	38

Walter et al.	2017	219	-	83/32	-	-	-	17/8 other e 60/23 metastatic disease
Bernon et al.	2018	40	3/2	18/17	-	-	-	-