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This article focuses on the hot issues of diabetes and cardiovascular disease, starting from cases and conducting in-depth analysis. This is somewhat innovative.
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1.1 Major revision.

2. Title:
2.1 Obvious errors were not found in this section.

3. Abstract:

3.3 Materials and methods are not clearly described.

4. Key Words:

4.1 OCT imaging is not a key word in MeSH.

5. Introduction:

5.1 No obvious errors were found in this part.

6. Case report:

6.1 The medical history is not detailed enough.

6.2 The picture is irregular.

7. Discussion:

7.1 Some spelling errors were found in this section.

8. Conclusion:

8.1 The conclusion is not concise enough.

8.2 It is best not to include references in the conclusion.

9. Illustrations and tables:

9.1 Same as results section.
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10.1 The document format of references does not meet the requirements of the magazine.
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11. Language:

11.1 This article contains some spelling errors.