Dear Dr. editor

Thank you very much for your decision letter and additional advice on our manuscript Lung adenocarcinoma metastasis to paranasal sinus: A case report and review of literature (Manuscript Number:74493). We also thank the reviewers for their review of our revised manuscript and further comments. We are pleased to have the opportunity to address their additional concerns, and as before, all amendments are indicated by red font in the revised manuscript. In addition, our point-by-point responses to the latest comments are listed below this letter.

Specific Comments

1 The article has been carefully crafted. It reveals a rare situation. It has raised awareness of a condition that can easily be overlooked in clinical studies. My humble suggestion is to shorten it in the discussion section.

**Response:** Thank you for your insightful suggestion. Several sentences have been changed in the DISCUSSION of the revised manuscript (Page 8, Lines 9-18) to address this issue.

2 Thank you for the interesting and unique case report.

**Response:** Thank you very much for your patient review and appreciation of this manuscript.

Scientific quality: The manuscript describes a case report of the lung adenocarcinoma metastasis to paranasal sinus. The topic is within the scope of the WJCC. (1) Classification: Grade A and Grade C;

**Response:** Thank you for your comment.

(2) Summary of the Peer-Review Report: The article has been carefully crafted. It reveals a rare situation. It has raised awareness of a condition that can easily be overlooked in clinical studies. The questions raised by the reviewers should be answered;
Response: Thank you for your comment.

(3) Format: There are 2 tables and 6 figures;

Response: Thank you for your comment.

(4) References: A total of 18 references are cited, including 0 references published in the last 3 years; (5) Self-cited references: There is no self-cited reference;

Response: Thanks for your positive comment on the present study and insightful suggestion on further investigation. Currently, the literature on this kind of report is extremely limited. We have added some reference in the revised manuscript (Page 11, Lines 19-20, Page 12, Lines 6-8) to address this issue.

(6) References recommendations: The authors have the right to refuse to cite improper references recommended by the peer reviewer(s), especially references published by the peer reviewer(s) him/herself (themselves). If the authors find the peer reviewer(s) request for the authors to cite improper references published by him/herself (themselves), please send the peer reviewer’s ID number to editorialoffice@wjgnet.com. The Editorial Office will close and remove the peer reviewer from the F6Publishing system immediately.

Response: No inappropriate references are cited in this article.

Language evaluation: Classification: Two Grades A. A language editing certificate issued by Medjaden was provided. 3 Academic norms and rules: The authors didn’t provide the written informed consent of treatment. No academic misconduct was found in the Bing search.

Response: The written informed consent of treatment will be provided in the process of the manuscripts revision.
Supplementary comments: This is an unsolicited manuscript. No financial support was obtained for the study. The topic has not previously been published in the WJCC.

Response: Yes, no financial support was obtained for the study.

Issues raised: (1) The “Author Contributions” section is missing. Please provide the author contributions; (2) The authors did not provide original pictures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor; and (3) Uniform presentation should be used for figures showing the same or similar contents; for example, “Figure 1 Pathological changes of atrophic gastritis after treatment. A: ...; B: ...; C: ...; D: ...; E: ...; F: ...; G: ...”. 6 Re-Review: Not required.

Response: The “Author Contributions” has been added in the revised manuscript (Page 9, Lines 13-18), as are the original pictures.

This revised manuscript has again been edited and proofread by Medjaden Inc..

We hope that this further revised draft of the manuscript is now acceptable for publication in your journal and look forward to hearing from you soon.

With best wishes,

Yours sincerely