



# BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 26709

**Title:** Mechanistic Insights of Rapid Liver Regeneration after ALPPS

**Reviewer's code:** 00053419

**Reviewer's country:** Spain

**Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2016-04-23 17:29

**Date reviewed:** 2016-05-02 16:47

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript provide a wide vision of liver regeneration in the context of different therapeutic interventions combining results from experimental models and from the clinical management of patients suffering from parenchymal liver disorders. All issues discussed are relevant, provide molecular mechanisms that might well explain the clinical observations and leave still open questions that will surely foster future investigation avenues. The manuscript is written in a comprehensive manner but some information from the results and discussion sections is redundant. As a review it might be organized in topic and subtopic sections (as in the results section, for instance) rather than in results and discussion, as is the common use for research articles. The use of abbreviations in the abstract should be avoided.



# BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 26709

**Title:** Mechanistic Insights of Rapid Liver Regeneration after ALPPS

**Reviewer's code:** 03195661

**Reviewer's country:** China

**Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2016-04-23 17:29

**Date reviewed:** 2016-05-25 11:35

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this review, the authors summarized and discussed the potential mechanisms of regeneration in the ALPPS. There are only a few comments to this paper: 1. The authors should use the full name rather than abbreviations in title and abstract when the terminologies appeared at the first time. 2. The authors elaborated the potential mechanism of liver regeneration after ALPPS in various perspectives. In the clinic, some patients with HCC who received ALPPS might not undergo the second stage of this surgery due to the failure of liver recovery. So, the mechanism of impaired liver regeneration should be investigated in accordance with the topic. 3. The contain text of Fig3 is too small to recognize.