



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

Manuscript NO: 99201

Title: Therapeutic efficacy of immunotherapy for gastric cancer metastasis

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 08279623

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2024-07-18

Reviewer chosen by: Shang Wu

Reviewer accepted review: 2024-09-02 04:22

Reviewer performed review: 2024-09-02 05:45

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This manuscript titled "Therapeutic Efficacy of Immunotherapy for Gastric Cancer Metastasis" presents an insightful overview of the mechanisms and clinical applications of immunotherapy in managing gastric cancer metastases, particularly focusing on liver, lung, peritoneal, and lymph node metastases. The strengths of the paper include a thorough review of current immunotherapeutic approaches and a detailed comparison of their efficacy across different metastatic sites. The manuscript also commendably identifies and discusses future research directions and challenges, providing a solid theoretical foundation and practical guidance for clinicians in the field. This contributes significantly to the existing literature by compiling recent advancements and synthesizing a broad array of studies into a coherent narrative. Here are some suggestions for major revisions: 1.Potential Clinical Implications: Expand on the potential clinical implications of the findings. How might these results influence future research, clinical practices, or the development of therapeutic strategies? 2. To improve the background section of your manuscript, it would be beneficial to include and reference more recent epidemiological studies on cancer immunotherapy. Current



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: office@baishideng.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

literature on this topic is somewhat limited, and recent studies, such as PMID: 39198775, PMID: 35432482, PMID: 39209650, and PMID: 37275857, could provide valuable insights that enhance the depth of your discussion. 3. Proofreading and Formatting: Some sections require proofreading to correct minor typographical errors. Additionally, ensuring consistency in formatting throughout the document would improve its professional presentation. 4. In the discussion section, the argumentation is somewhat underdeveloped. It would significantly strengthen the manuscript to expand this section by summarizing previous research findings on the efficacy of immunotherapy. Additionally, offering a comprehensive outlook on future directions and potential advancements in the field is crucial. Delving deeper into how these developments could potentially impact clinical practices and patient outcomes would provide a more robust and compelling conclusion to the paper. This approach would not only contextualize the current findings within the broader research landscape but also highlight gaps and opportunities for upcoming studies. In conclusion, the study presents significant findings in the field of immunotherapy research. Addressing these points could enhance the clarity, impact, and scientific rigor of the manuscript.