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### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Such cases are rare clinically. Some minor issues are listed below: 1. Can you provide the X-ray or CT scan after the first PVP operation? If the cement leakage was found after the first operation, why was the cement not removed by surgery in time? 2. When did the patient develop symptoms of neurological impairment. 3. Please provide CT scan images after cement removal.
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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

it is well written case report that is unusual to face but I think it is delayed in the management was this delay from the patient or the hospital system
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**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

These type of cases are reported recently. Nicely written article. Discussion is coherent and giving clear scientific massage. Recent references are Quoted. No New concept but medical complication. Accidental finding but creating awareness. Some abbreviations have to written completely, when used first time in manuscript.