

Dear editor,

We are truly grateful to *World Journal of Clinical Cases* for giving us the opportunity to revise our manuscript. We also thank you and the reviewers for your careful review and valuable comments and suggestions on our manuscript. We have revised the manuscript accordingly and carefully proofread the whole manuscript with the help of Freescience Editorial Team. Our point-by-point responses to the comments are listed on the following pages. Reviewers' comments are in bold and italic font and our response to each point is in plain text along with a clear indication of the location of the revision in the manuscript.

We would like to re-submit this revised manuscript to *World Journal of Clinical Cases* and hope that it is acceptable for publication.

Looking forward to hearing from you.

With warmest regards,

Sincerely yours,

Hui Zhou

Email: zhouhuicdu@hotmail.com

Tel. : +86 138 080 047 28

Department of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine

Affiliated Hospital of Chengdu University School of Clinical Medicine, Chengdu University

No.82, North Section 2, 2nd Ring Road

Chengdu 610081, China

Reviewer 1:

Dear Reviewer 1:

Thank you very much for your valuable comments. Please find a point-by-point response below:

Specific comments: *Well written manuscript. It is not clear what prompted the imaging for the chest and brain. I suggest if there were symptoms the metastases produced, then they should be described.*

Response: Thank you very much for your insightful and valuable comments and suggestions. According to your general comments, we have revised these issues as follows:

It is not clear what prompted the imaging for the chest and brain.

Response: Thank you for your valuable comments. According to your suggestions, we have revised the manuscript. We have described the related symptoms the metastases produced and what prompted the imaging for the chest and brain.([page 4: line 23-25](#), [page 6: line 6](#)).

I suggest if there were symptoms the metastases produced, then they should be described.

Response: Thank you for your valuable comments. According to your suggestions, we have revised the manuscript. We have described the related symptoms the metastases produced. ([page 4: line 23-25](#)).

Reviewer 2:

Dear Reviewer 2:

Thank you very much for your valuable comments. Please find a point-by-point response below:

Specific comments: *This is a case report of Sebaceous Carcinoma. In this manuscript, the clinical and pathological features and treatment progress of sebaceous carcinoma are fully described. Although, there is no better treatment currently, it is possible that early and accurate diagnosis and removal of the lesion could benefit these patients. I think that's the value of this article.*

Response: Thank you for your comments. We have added the relevant information in the revised manuscript. In the "CONCLUSION" section we further emphasize the significance of writing the case report ([page 12: line 10-14](#)).

Science editor:

Dear Science editor:

Thank you very much for your valuable comments. Please find a point-by-point response below:

Specific comments: *This manuscript introduces a rare case of lung, brain and lymph node metastasis of sebaceous carcinoma located in the thigh. If there were symptoms the metastases produced, please add description; please add radiological evaluation of the brain and chest.*

Response: Thank you for this valuable comments. We entirely agree with you that the symptoms the metastases produced should be described (page 4: line 23-25) and we have also added radiological evaluation of the brain and chest(page 6: line 6-11; page 8: line 22-26; page 9: line 1-2).

Company editor-in-chief:

Dear Company editor-in-chief:

Thank you very much for your valuable comments. Please find a point-by-point response below:

Specific comments: I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, the full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Clinical Cases, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. Before final acceptance, uniform presentation should be used for figures showing the same or similar contents; for example, "Figure 1 Pathological changes of atrophic gastritis after treatment. A: ...; B: ...; C: ...; D: ...; E: ...; F: ...; G: ...". Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor.

Response: Thank you for this valuable comments. We have rearranged the pictures according to your opinion.

About references format

All references have been adjusted to WJCC format by endnote.