



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 38963

Title: The Feasibility of Marginal Liver Grafts in Living Donor Liver Transplantation

Reviewer's code: 03538158

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Xue-Jiao Wang

Date sent for review: 2018-03-26

Date reviewed: 2018-03-27

Review time: 20 Hours

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. In page 13, lines 7-8, “..... have more choices about his/her donors, there are few studies reporting HbsAg or HbcAg (+) liver grafts; no studies refer to HIV- and HCV-positive liver grafts.....” Please make corrections from “HbsAg or HbcAg (+)” to “HBsAg or HBcAg (+)”. How was anti-HBc-positive liver grafts? 2. In page 13, lines 9-10, “HBsAg(-) LDLT patients who received HBsAg or HBcAb (+) grafts have a high risk of de novo HBV infection after transplantation.” This reviewer wants to ask whether HBsAg (+) grafts are as same risk as HBcAb (+) grafts? How the nucleos(t)ide analogues for the prevention of HBV reactivation? Authors should describe them.



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 38963

Title: The Feasibility of Marginal Liver Grafts in Living Donor Liver Transplantation

Reviewer's code: 00051373

Reviewer's country: Taiwan

Science editor: Xue-Jiao Wang

Date sent for review: 2018-03-26

Date reviewed: 2018-04-01

Review time: 5 Days

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> [] High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

A comprehensive review for the donor evaluation in living donor liver transplantation. Manuscript is well writing and clear presentation the key points in LDLT setting.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 38963

Title: The Feasibility of Marginal Liver Grafts in Living Donor Liver Transplantation

Reviewer's code: 02904354

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Xue-Jiao Wang

Date sent for review: 2018-03-26

Date reviewed: 2018-04-01

Review time: 5 Days

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Interesting topic, but need major revision. 1. The abstract should be expanded. Background should be briefly introduced. How did the authors define the marginal liver graft? 2. Type of this paper is awkward. If it is a systematic review paper, please add the assessment of study quality. Regardless, the study characteristics and quality for a meta-analysis should be added. If it is a narrative review paper, please delete the search strategy and statistical analysis. Meta-analysis is often not feasible for a narrative review paper. 3. Tables should be used to list all relevant papers in different topics. 4. The objective of this review is potentially important in clinical practice. So the grade of evidence in different topics should be provided. For example, in the topic "Graft with hepatic benign tumor", only one small study was cited. Is the evidence enough for our clinical practice? 5. The words "Blood dissemination disease" are confusing. Indeed, the authors discussed chronic hepatitis. 6. Figure 2 is unclear. Please improve it or delete



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

it. 7. Grammar and spelling errors should be greatly revised. Some errors were listed as follows "...conclude new finds and assert..." "finds" should be revised as "findings" "...a major obstacle to providing this life-saving..." "providing" should be revised as "provide" "...a predict score..." "predict" should be revised as "predictive" "...in LDLT than that in OLT..." "that" should be deleted "...have the potentially to..." "potentially" should be revised as "potential"