Dear reviewers of World Journal of Gastroenterology,

Thank you very much for your constructive criticism and input to improve the article Candy Cane Syndrome - A Review.

I have just resent a new version of the manuscript that incorporates your suggestions and implements the corrections indicated in your comments.

A new in-depth revision of the English of the document has been made, as requested in your comments.

Specifically in relation to each of the two reviewers' comments:

Reviewer 1:
1. Figures 1 and 2 have been improved and colored arrows have been introduced to improve their understanding. The captions for each of the figures have also been reformulated to be clearer for readers.

2. A paragraph has been introduced in which reference is made to the optimal size of the blind loop to prevent candy cane syndrome.

3. A discussion of the various endoscopic therapeutic options available for addressing candy cane syndrome was developed in detail.

4. A paragraph discussing the differential diagnosis of candy cane syndrome was introduced.

5. The authors have introduced a text section where they state their personal input and opinion on the diagnosis and treatment of this clinical condition.

Reviewer 2:
1. The aim of this work has been made consistent with the description in the manuscript.

2. We have improved the 'introduction' section to cover all relevant aspects of candy cane syndrome more thoroughly.

3. We have improved the "results" section so that it accurately translates data from the literature particularly about endoscopic options for treatment of this condition.

4. We have improved the "discussion" section in order to make it more didactic and to expose all critical aspects about the relevant information collected in the literature review.

Sincerely,

Ricardo Rio-Tinto