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Candy cane syndrome: A systematic review

Ricardo Rio-Tinto, Jorge Canena, Jacques Devière

BACKGROUND
Candy cane syndrome (CCS) is a condition that occurs following gastrectomy or gastric bypass. CCS remains underrecognized, yet its prevalence is likely to rise due to the obesity epidemic and increased use of bariatric surgery. No previous literature review on this subject has been published.

AIM
To collate the current knowledge on CCS.

METHODS
A literature search was conducted with PubMed and Google Scholar for studies from May 2007, until March 2023. The bibliographies of the retrieved articles were manually searched for additional relevant articles.

RESULTS
Twenty-one articles were identified (135 patients). Abdominal pain, nausea/vomiting, and reflux were the most reported symptoms. Upper gastrointestinal (GI) series and endoscopy were performed for diagnosis. Surgical resection of the blind limb was performed in 13 studies with resolution of symptoms in 73%-
100%. In surgical series, 9 complications were reported with no mortality. One study reported the surgical construction of a jejunal pouch with clinical success. Six studies described endoscopic approaches with 100% clinical success and no complications. In one case report, endoscopic dilation did not improve the patient’s symptoms.

**CONCLUSION**

CCS remains underrecognized due to lack of knowledge about this condition. The growth of the obesity epidemic worldwide and the increase in bariatric surgery are likely to increase its prevalence. CCS can be prevented if an elongated blind loop is avoided or if a jejunal pouch is constructed after total gastrectomy. Diagnosis should be based on symptoms, endoscopy, and upper GI series. Blind loop resection is curative but complex and associated with significant complications. Endoscopic management using different approaches to divert flow is effective and should be further explored.
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**Core Tip:** Enteral resections with side-to-side or end-to-end anastomosis, if a long blind end is left in place and dilates, can cause symptoms that may appear many years later. The classic designation for this clinical condition is blind pouch syndrome, although it is possible to find references under other designations, causing confusion. Candy cane syndrome (CCS) is a particular case of the blind pouch syndrome following gastrectomy or gastric bypass. CCS was first reported in a 2007 paper describing a series of patients with gastrointestinal symptoms associated with a long blind loop proximal to the gastro-jejunostomy after gastric bypass and creation of an end-to-side anastomosis to a jejunal loop. With unknown prevalence, few reports and case series have described the condition. Yet, with the increasing prevalence of obesity and number of operations being performed worldwide, surgical complications such as CCS are expected to become more frequent. Knowledge of candy cane syndrome is important to avoid delays in diagnosis and inadequate treatments. Thus, the goal of this study was to collate evidence on CCS symptoms, diagnosis, treatments, and outcomes. To the best of our knowledge, no previous literature review on this topic has been published.

**INTRODUCTION**

It has long been recognized that when long, blind enteral loops are left in place after a side-to-side or end-to-side anastomosis, they can dilate and be the cause of symptoms that may appear many years later[1]. The classic term for this clinical condition is “blind pouch syndrome”, although it is possible to find references under other designations, causing confusion[2,3]. A particular case of blind pouch syndrome following gastrectomy or gastric bypass is called candy cane syndrome (CCS). CCS was first reported in a 2007 paper describing a series of patients with gastrointestinal (GI) symptoms associated with a long blind loop proximal to the gastro-jejunostomy after gastric bypass and creation of an end-to-side anastomosis to a jejunal loop[4]. Few case reports and retrospective studies have described this condition. However, with the increasing prevalence of obesity and number of obesity-related surgeries being performed worldwide, CCS is expected to become more frequent[5].

Probably, the pathophysiology of CCS is exclusively mechanical: A long, mispositioned blind loop preferentially directs luminal contents, increasing pressure and causing dilatation, pain, regurgitation, postprandial vomiting, and weight loss (Figure 1)[6-7]. Cachexia and spontaneous rupture of the blind loop are described[8,9]. Given its nonspecific presentation, the diagnosis of CCS is often subjective and based on clinical symptoms in conjunction with the endoscopic and/or radiographic appearance of a long and dilated blind jejunal limb proximal to the anastomosis, a finding which is known as the candy cane sign (Figure 2)[6,7,9,10].

CCS can be prevented by the avoidance of an unnecessary elongated jejunal (blind) loop proximal to the anastomosis during the initial surgery[2-4]. A blind loop of less than 3 to 4 cm is usually not associated with obstruction and therefore does not cause CCS. In addition, construction of a jejunal pouch after total gastrectomy prevents CCS and improves feeding, weight recovery, and quality of life[11-14].

For treatment, surgical resection of the dilated loop is curative but technically complex, due to previous surgeries and adhesions, and is associated with non-negligible morbidity[15,16]. Endoscopic management of CCS using various approaches to divert the flow from the blind loop is possible, safe, and effective[6,7,17-19].
Figure 1 **An esophago-jejunal anastomosis.** A: The optimal morphology of an esophago-jejunal anastomosis, where the blind loop is short, and the efferent loop is properly aligned (yellow arrow); B: A long blind loop preferentially aligned with the axis of the esophagus (red arrow) favoring the passage of food towards it; C: A blind loop filled with food compresses the efferent loop and progressively dilates (red arrows), which over time worsens patient’s food intolerance.

Figure 2 **An upper gastrointestinal series in a patient with candy cane syndrome demonstrates.** Left, the preferential filling of the blind limb (red arrow). Right, a delayed “spill” of contrast to the efferent limb (yellow arrow).

Knowledge of CCS is important to avoid delays in diagnosis and inadequate treatments. Thus, the goal of this study was to collate evidence on CCS symptoms, diagnosis, treatments, and outcomes. To the best of our knowledge, no previous literature review on this topic has been published.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

A literature search was conducted using the PubMed database and Google Scholar, and by searching the electronic links to related articles, from May 1, 2007 through March 31, 2023. Search terms included candy cane syndrome, blind pouch syndrome, blind loop syndrome, afferent loop syndrome, Roux limb syndrome, post-gastrectomy syndromes, complications of gastrectomy, side-to-side intestinal anastomosis, end-to-side intestinal anastomosis, and symptoms (pain, reflux, regurgitation, vomiting, and/or weight loss) after gastrectomy. The latter terms were used in various combinations for the search. Language restrictions were not applied. The bibliographies of the retrieved articles were manually searched for additional relevant articles. The articles were carefully read to identify only those exclusively focusing on candy cane syndrome.
Figure 3  A keep-in-mind image: This condition was first called candy cane syndrome in 2007 in reference to the so-called cane-shaped candy.

RESULTS

In accordance with the search criteria, we identified a total of 21 articles (135 patients), including 13 case reports, 3 case series, 4 retrospective studies, and 1 prospective study. Among these studies, the most reported symptoms were abdominal pain, nausea/vomiting, and reflux. In addition, almost all studies performed upper GI series and endoscopy for diagnosis.

Fourteen studies reported surgical resection of the excessive and/or dilated blind limb (13 studies, 111 patients) or construction of an enteral pouch (1 study, 1 patient) with resolution of symptoms in 73%–100% of patients\[4\,15,16,20-24\]. In one case, the surgical procedure was performed through thoracoscopy[25]. These studies reported a total of 9 complications (1 biliary leak, 3 infections, 1 anastomosis ulcer, 1 enterotomy, 1 hematoma, 1 pneumonia\hepatic infarction, 1 leak) with no mortality[16,20,22].

Seven studies, including 5 case reports, 1 case series, and the only prospective study available, described various endoscopic approaches: In two studies, a lumen-apposing metal stent was used to divert the luminal content into the efferent loop[18,26]; in another two cases, a suture device was used to prevent the passage of food content into the blind loop[17-19]. These approaches are technically complex and have low reproducibility; A case report and a prospective study used a magnetic device to cut the tissue between the blind loop and the efferent loop, creating a pouch and allowing the free passage of the food contents[6,7]. In this case, the food is not retained in the blind loop and progresses unhindered to the efferent loop. All these endoscopic approaches led to resolution of symptoms in 100% of patients with no reported complications. One case report described CCS treatment by endoscopic dilation, which does not divert the blind loop, without success[9] (Table 1).

DISCUSSION

CCS remains underrecognized and misdiagnosed due to a lack of knowledge about the condition. However, its manifestations have been described as common after gastrectomy[27]. In this review, we collected the current evidence on CCS symptoms, diagnosis, and treatment.

When the luminal contents preferentially pass into an overly long blind loop that retains food and distends, the characteristic symptoms of CCS appear, most commonly postprandial abdominal pain associated with nausea and vomiting. These symptoms can appear several years after surgery. Although CCS is a particular case of blind pouch syndrome, it has characteristics that justify being considered an independent clinical entity. As the obesity epidemic persists worldwide and the use of bariatric surgery increases, the prevalence of CCS will likely rise. Thus, CCS should be included in the group of post-gastrectomy syndromes and should be more readily recognized to avoid misdiagnosis, delayed treatment, and inappropriate interventions (Figure 3).

The differential diagnosis of CCS should include other surgical complications such as anastomotic stenosis, dysmotility syndromes secondary to surgery, and recurrence in cases of an oncologic indication for gastrectomy.

Collective evidence indicates that the diagnosis of CCS can be suggested based on clinical history and symptoms and should be confirmed by endoscopy and dynamic fluoroscopy.

The characteristic finding in upper GI series is a preferential filling of the blind loop followed by delayed passage of contrast into the efferent loop, the so-called “candy cane sign”[10].
Table 1: Studies reporting candy cane syndrome from May 2007 to January 2023

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>Type of study</th>
<th>n of patients</th>
<th>Symptoms</th>
<th>Timing of symptoms</th>
<th>Specific test</th>
<th>Management</th>
<th>Improvement rate</th>
<th>Complications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dallal et al[4], 2007</td>
<td>Case series</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>AP, N/V, GERD</td>
<td>3 wk, 1 year, 3 years</td>
<td>UGS, endoscopy</td>
<td>Surgical resection</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romero-Meja et al[29], 2010</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>AP</td>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>UGS, endoscopy</td>
<td>Surgical resection</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biju et al[29], 2012</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>AP, N/V, GERD</td>
<td>9 years</td>
<td>Endoscopy, UGS</td>
<td>Surgical resection</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Razjouyan et al[9], 2015</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Dysphagia</td>
<td>2 mo</td>
<td>UGS, endoscopy</td>
<td>Endoscopic dilation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aryae et al[20], 2017</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>AP, N/V</td>
<td>3-11 years</td>
<td>UGS, endoscopy</td>
<td>Surgical resection</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>1 biliary leak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marti Fernandez et al[30], 2017</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>AP</td>
<td>Not mentioned</td>
<td>UGS, endoscopy</td>
<td>Surgical resection</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granata et al[17], 2019</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>N/V, AP</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>UGS, endoscopy</td>
<td>Endoscopic suture</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khan et al[15], 2018</td>
<td>Case series</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>AP, N/V</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>UGS, endoscopy, CT</td>
<td>Surgical resection</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kommunuri et al[21], 2018</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>N/V, AP</td>
<td>6 years</td>
<td>UGS, endoscopy</td>
<td>Surgical pouch</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frieder et al[23], 2019</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>AP, N/V, GERD</td>
<td>10 years</td>
<td>Not mentioned</td>
<td>Surgical resection</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1 leak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cartilione et al[31], 2020</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>AP, D, Vasomotor</td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>Surgical resection</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kamocka et al[16], 2020</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>AP, N/V, GERD</td>
<td>Not mentioned</td>
<td>CT, endoscopy, UGS</td>
<td>Surgical resection</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>3 Infections, 1 anastomosis ulcer, 1 enterotomy, 1 hematoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobb and Banki[25], 2020</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Dysphagia, regurgitation</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>UGS, CT, endoscopy</td>
<td>Surgical resection1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wundsam et al[18], 2020</td>
<td>Case series</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Not mentioned</td>
<td>Not mentioned</td>
<td>Not mentioned</td>
<td>Endoscopic LAMS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acín-Gándara and Ruiz-Úcar[22], 2021</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Dysphagia, GERD, AP</td>
<td>Not mentioned</td>
<td>Endoscopy, UGS</td>
<td>Surgical resection</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Pneumonia, hepatic infarction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenberg et al[19], 2021</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>AP, N/V, GERD</td>
<td>8 years</td>
<td>Endoscopy, UGS</td>
<td>Endoscopic suture</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rio-Tinto et al[6], 2022</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>AP, N/V</td>
<td>Not mentioned</td>
<td>Endoscopy, UGS</td>
<td>Endoscopic marsupialization</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rio-Tinto et al[7], 2022</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>AP, N/V</td>
<td>24 mo</td>
<td>Endoscopy, UGS</td>
<td>Endoscopic marsupialization</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shamia et al[32], 2022</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>AP, N/V, GERD</td>
<td>Not mentioned</td>
<td>Endoscopy</td>
<td>Surgical resection</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>Not mentioned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ouazzari et al[26], 2023</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>N/V, GERD</td>
<td>40 years</td>
<td>Endoscopy, UGS</td>
<td>Endoscopic LAMS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prakash et al[24], 2023</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>GERD</td>
<td>15 years</td>
<td>Endoscopy, UGS</td>
<td>Surgical resection</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Thoracoscopy.
AP: Abdominal pain; N/V: Nausea/vomiting; GERD: Gastroesophageal reflux disease; UGS: Upper gastrointestinal series; CT: Computed tomography.

Endoscopy in patients submitted to gastroectomy or gastric bypass should include the careful exploration of the blind loop and of the passage to the efferent loop. In these patients, access to the blind loop is usually easy and direct, and access to the efferent loop is difficult and done after passing through an angulation[21].
When CCS does arise, effective treatment options are available. Surgical resection of the excessively long and/or dilated loop is curative. However, this method is technically complex, due to previous surgeries and adhesions, and is associated with serious complications in a significant number of patients. By contrast, endoscopic management of CCS using various approaches to divert the flow from the blind loop is safe and effective and should be further explored.

CONCLUSION
CCS is still an unknown diagnosis for most physicians, including gastroenterologists who are often the first clinicians to deal with these patients.

Although it is underreported, the prevalence of CCS is probably higher than is commonly thought. Its diagnosis is based on clinical, endoscopic, and imaging findings. Symptoms such as dysphagia, pain, regurgitation, or reflux after food intake are relatively frequent in patients after gastrectomy or gastric bypass and should lead to a detailed clinical investigation. Although surgical revision of the blind loop is an effective treatment, it is associated with complications in frail patients with comorbidities. Sectioning of the septum and marsupialization is the current standard mini-invasive treatment for esophageal diverticula. The development of a simple and safe endoscopic technique, such as the blind loop marsupialization described in the only existing prospective study, will in our opinion be the preferred treatment for CCS in the future.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS
Research background
Candy cane syndrome (CCS) is a particular case of the blind pouch syndrome after gastrectomy or gastric bypass, so named in a 2007 paper describing a small series of patients with gastrointestinal symptoms associated with a long blind loop proximal to the gastro-jejunostomy after gastric bypass and creation of an end-to-side anastomosis to a jejunal loop. The pathophysiology of CCS appears to be predominantly mechanical, as an excessive long or mispositioned blind loop proximal to the anastomosis may preferably direct food and increase luminal pressure, causing dilatation, early satiety, fullness, pain, reflux, regurgitation, post-prandial vomiting, weight loss, and, ultimately, inability to eat and cachexia.

Research motivation
CCS remains underrecognized and misdiagnosed due to the lack of knowledge about this condition, however, its manifestations have been described as common after gastrectomy. Since gastroenterologists are often the first clinicians to come into contact with patients with CCS, it is important that this clinical condition be part of the list of differential diagnoses for patients with digestive symptoms after gastrectomy or gastric bypass. To our knowledge, there is no published review on this subject.

Research objectives
The objective of this work was to systematically gather all the published evidence on CCS, in order to make this clinical condition known and to systematize the diagnostic and therapeutic approach.

Research methods
A literature search was conducted using PubMed and Google Scholar, and by searching in addition to electronic links to related articles, from May 1, 2007, through March 31, 2023. Search terms included candy cane syndrome, blind pouch syndrome, blind loop syndrome, afferent loop syndrome, Roux limb syndrome, post-gastrectomy syndromes, complications of gastrectomy, side-to-side intestinal anastomosis, end-to-side intestinal anastomosis, and symptoms (pain, reflux, regurgitation, vomiting, and/or weight loss) after gastrectomy. The bibliographies of the retrieved articles were manually searched for additional relevant articles.

Research results
We found 20 articles on CCS, most case reports or case series in which the treatment was surgical, usually resection of the blind loop. In seven articles the treatment was endoscopic, using lumen-apposing metal stents to divert the passage of the luminal contents (two case reports), suture devices to close the blind loop (two case reports), or by cutting the septum between the blind loop and the efferent loop, promoting the marsupialization of the blind loop (one clinical case and the only prospective study available). In one case, balloon dilatation was performed, without clinical success. In general, treatment results are good, but the surgical approach is associated with complications in a significant number of patients.

Research conclusions
CCS remains an under-recognized clinical condition and since gastroenterologists are usually the first clinicians to come into contact with these patients it is important to make it more familiar. As the number of bariatric surgeries increases, it is likely that the number of patients with CCS will increase as well. CCS patients are usually frail, with comorbidities, and it is important to establish the best diagnostic and therapeutic approach. Surgical treatment is effective but is associated with complications and there is still no optimal and reproducible endoscopic treatment.
Research perspectives

We believe that, in the same way that the treatment of Zenker’s diverticulum has changed surgery to the endoscopic section of the diverticular septum, in a simple, fast, effective and reproducible procedure, marsupialization of the septum between the blind loop and the effenter loop can become the ideal treatment for CCS.
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