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Abstract

Non-healing diabetic foot ulcers (DFU) are the most notable and striking complications of diabetes mellitus. More than 25% of nonhealing DFU can ultimately lead to amputation of the lower extremity within 6-18 mo after the first manifestation of the wound. Although wound healing is complex, nutritional status is crucial in soft tissue repair. Malnutrition is highly prevalent and overlooked in patients with diabetes and chronic wounds. Moreover, to date, we do not have clear recommendations or evidence about the use of nutritional supplements for improving wound healing in patients with DFU. In this article the authors briefly analyzed the current evidence on the use of nutritional supplements of proteins or amino acids, fatty acids, probiotics, vitamins, and trace elements in the wound healing process in patients with DFU.
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Core Tip: Malnutrition is common in patients with diabetes and chronic wounds. To date we do not have clear recommendations or evidence about the use of nutritional supplements for improving wound healing in patients with diabetic foot ulcers (DFU). This paper aimed to evaluate current evidence regarding the use of Nutritional supplementation on wound healing in patients with DFU.
INTRODUCTION

The Global Burden of Disease study[1], estimated that 131.0 million (1.77%) people worldwide had diabetes-related lower-extremity complications (DRLECs) in 2016, equal to 34% of the diabetes population. Among these patients almost 105.6 million had neuropathy; 18.6 million had foot ulcers, and 6.8 million undergone to amputation. Moreover, it is known than 84% of lower extremity amputations are preceded by a foot ulcer[2], however ulcer prevention is still an overlooked opportunity[3].

In patients with diabetes known risk factors for developing ulcers are peripheral arterial disease, peripheral neuropathy, repeated trauma, history of previous ulcers, and/or amputation. Male subjects, patients with a longer duration of the disease and a low socioeconomic level have the highest risk[4-7].

Non-healing diabetic foot ulcers (DFU) are the one of the most relevant and dangerous complications of diabetes mellitus[2]. A diabetic foot ulcer is defined as non-healing in the case of a wound area reduction of less than 50% after 4 weeks of standard care[8]. More than a quarter of non-healing DFU may in the end leads to lower extremity amputation within 6-18 mo after the wound’s outset[9].

Although wound healing is regulated by complex mechanisms, the outcome of the process is the complete repair of the damaged tissue. It is known that infection, wound depth, size, and duration negatively impact healing process and are therefore associated with poor outcomes and possible amputation[10].

Nutritional status is crucial in wound healing. The presence of a wound has a negative impact on nutritional status due to the metabolic cost of repairing damaged tissue, in addition to nutrient loss through wound inflammatory exudate. Malnutrition is highly prevalent in patients with chronic diabetic foot wounds[11], and specific micronutrient deficiencies are common and associated with impaired wound healing and increase the risk of amputation in people with DFU[12,13]. Malnutrition is known to impair the inflammatory phase of the healing process, reducing fibroblast proliferation and collagen synthesis, increasing the risk of developing infections, decreasing T lymphocyte function, phagocytic activity, complement, and antibody levels[14]. Moreover, nutritional defect of polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) and consequent reduced synthesis of the essential fatty acid-derived resolvins, (crucial regulators of inflammatory phase of wound healing) are particularly deleterious in people with DFU where diabetes itself is associated with an overall decreased production of pro-resolving lipid mediators[15].

Therefore, nutritional interventions can improve DFU healing. Current Australian guidelines recommend the evaluation of nutritional status when progress towards DFU closure is not made[16] and the use of oral nutritional supplements (ONS) when an oral diet is not sufficient to meet nutritional requirements. However, to date, we do not have clear recommendations or evidence on the use of nutritional supplements to improve wound healing in patients with DFU.

This article aimed to evaluate current evidence regarding the use of Nutritional (Proteins, Aminoacids, Omega 3, probiotics, vitamins, and Trace Elements) supplementation on wound healing in patients with DFU (Table 1).

PROTEIN AND AMINO ACIDS

Proteins and amino acids are the most frequent nutritional supplementation used to improve the healing of diabetic foot wounds, starting from the evidence of the positive effects of these compounds in wound healing. We identified four randomised controlled trial (RCT) studies that supplemented with protein or amino acid mixture in patients with diabetic foot. Eneroth 2004[17] supplemented 27 malnourished patients with diabetic foot ulcers with 20 g protein per 200 mL bottle and compared them with a placebo (26 patients). It is unknown whether there is a difference in the proportion of ulcers healed at the end of the study period, or an absolute change in individual parameters of ulcer dimensions, or ulcer area, over time, for those treated, or not treated with an ONS. Secondary outcomes too, amputation and adverse events (death), didn’t demonstrate a difference. Armstrong et al[18], an RCT with 270 patients, experimented with supplementation with arginine, glutamine, and b-hydroxy-b-methyl butyrate on foot ulcer healing in people with diabetes. No differences were found between groups in wound closure or time to wound healing after 16 wk. Subgroup analysis shows that the addition of arginine, glutamine, and b-hydroxy-b-methyl butyrate in addition to the standard of care can improve the healing of diabetic foot ulcers in patients with the risk of limb hypoperfusion and/or
Table 1 Strengths and Limitations of the main randomised controlled trial that evaluated the effects of specific nutritional supplement on wound healing in patients with diabetic foot ulcers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>Study design and Number of participants</th>
<th>Nutrient(s) or Supplement Studied</th>
<th>Aim of study</th>
<th>Main results</th>
<th>Limitations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eneroth et al [17], 2004</td>
<td>Double-blind RCT, 55 patients</td>
<td>20 g protein per 200 mL bottle, 1 kcal/mL</td>
<td>To determine the effects of supplementation on wound healing at 6 mo in subjects with DFU</td>
<td>10/27 (37%) participants in the oral nutritional supplement group healed at 6 mo compared with 12/26 (46%) in placebo group</td>
<td>The sample size was small; certainty of the evidence is very low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armstrong [18], 2014</td>
<td>Double-blind RCT, 270 patients</td>
<td>Arginine, glutamine and β-hydroxy-β-methylbutyrate</td>
<td>To determine the effects of supplementation on proportion of ulcers healed at 16 wk</td>
<td>65/129 (50%) participants in the arginine, glutamine and β-hydroxy-β-methylbutyrate supplement group healed, compared with 65/141 (46%) in the placebo group</td>
<td>Certainty of the evidence is very low; no difference in quality of life, new ulcers, amputation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wong et al [19], 2014</td>
<td>RCT, 27 patients</td>
<td>Amino acid mixture containing (beta)-hydroxy (beta)-methylbutyrate (HMB), arginine and glutamine</td>
<td>Compare pressure ulcer healing rates</td>
<td>Wound area did not decrease significantly in the short term for both groups. The proportion of viable tissues increased within 2 wk on HMB, arginine and glutamine supplementation; pressure Ulcer Scale for Healing scores showed significant improvement within 1 wk of supplementation for the experimental group</td>
<td>The sample size was small. Observation time was short. Amino acid does not appear to reduce wound size but to improve healing process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basiri et al [20], 2022</td>
<td>RCT, 29 patients</td>
<td>Nutritional supplementation (total energy of 500 calories, 28 g of protein, and essential vitamins and minerals) and education</td>
<td>Effects of nutrition supplementation and education on inflammatory biomarkers</td>
<td>The mean plasma concentration of IL-6 significantly decreased in the treatment group</td>
<td>The sample size was small</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basiri et al [20], 2022</td>
<td>RCT, 29 patients</td>
<td>Nutritional supplementation (total energy of 500 calories, 28 g of protein, and essential vitamins and minerals) and education</td>
<td>Nutrient-dense formula combined with nutrition education on wound healing</td>
<td>Treatment group experienced a faster wound healing rate (6.43 mm²/wk more reduction in the wound area) than the control group. The mean reduction in the wound area during the first four weeks of the study was almost 13-fold greater in the treatment group compared to the control group</td>
<td>The sample size was small</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sipahi et al [22], 2013</td>
<td>Retrospective analysis, 11 patients</td>
<td>Beta-hydroxy-beta-methylbutyrate, arginine and glutamine</td>
<td>Wound healing in diabetic dialysis patients</td>
<td>Healing was observed on the wound depth score of 7 (63.6%) patients and on wound appearance score of 8 (72.7%) patients out of 11</td>
<td>Not RCT; the sample size was small</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soleimani et al [31], 2017</td>
<td>Double-blind RCT, 60 patients</td>
<td>Omega-3 PUFA</td>
<td>To determine the effects of flaxseed oil omega-3 fattyacids supplementation on wound healing and metabolic profiles insuspects with DFU</td>
<td>Significant decrease in ulcer length, width, and depth</td>
<td>The sample size was small. The authors did not report data on ulcer healing (percentage of ulcers healed), cost of surgery, quality of life, adverse events, development of any new foot ulcers, amputation rate, incidence osteomyelitis from surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohseni et al [37], 2017</td>
<td>Double-blind RCT, 60 patients</td>
<td>Probiotics (Lactobacillus acidophilus, Lactobacillus casei, Lactobacillus fermentum, and Bifidobacterium bifidum)</td>
<td>To determine the effects of oral probiotic therapy on wound healing andmetabolic status in adult patients with diabetes</td>
<td>Significant improvement in length, width, and depth of ulcers in treatment vs placebo groups</td>
<td>The sample size was small. The authors did not report data on ulcer healing (percentage of ulcers healed), quality of life, adverse events, development of any new foot ulcers, amputation rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gunton et al [39], 2021</td>
<td>Double-blind RCT, 19 patients</td>
<td>Vitamin C 500 mg daily in a slow-release capsule</td>
<td>To determine the effects of oral vitamin C supplement on wound healing</td>
<td>Healing at 8 wk was significantly better in the vitamin C group (median 100 v. -14 %, P = 0.041); healing without amputation occurred in all patients in the vitamin C group</td>
<td>The sample size was extremely small</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yarahmadi et al [40], 2021</td>
<td>Double-blind RCT, 25 patients</td>
<td>Oral vitamin E and C or placebo</td>
<td>To determine the effects of oral vitamin C and E supplement on wound healing</td>
<td>Significantly higher wound size reduction in intervention (P = 0.019); significant decrease in</td>
<td>The sample size was small. All patients were treated even with platelet-rich</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To determine the effects of oral vitamin D supplement on wound healing an on markers of Inflammation and Insulin resistance, the RCT, 60 patients, double-blind, 50000 IU vitamin D supplements every 2 wk was associated with significant reductions in ulcer length and width, after 12 wk vitamin D treatment resulted in treatment Greater Reduction in ulcer length, width, depth, and erythema rate vs placebo. The sample size was small.

To determine the effects of oral magnesium oxide + vitamin E supplement on wound healing, the RCT, 70 patients, double-blind, 250 mg magnesium oxide was beneficial on ulcer size after 12 wk of treatment. The sample size was small.

To determine the effects of oral magnesium oxide supplement on wound healing, the RCT, 57 patients, double-blind, 250 mg magnesium oxide plus 400 IU vitamin E was magnesium plus vitamin E supplements for 12 wk reduced ulcer length and depth vs placebo. The sample size was small.

To determine the effects of oral zinc supplement on wound healing, the RCT, 60 patients, double-blind, 220 mg zinc sulfate supplements was zinc supplementation for 12 wk was associated with significant reductions in ulcer length and width. The sample size was small.

**POLYUNSATURATED OMEGA-3 FATTY ACIDS**

Long-chain Omega-3 PUFA and their bioactive metabolites (resolvin, marine, protectin) have long been considered as powerful negative modulators of acute inflammation and/or inducers of its resolution [23], while the role they play in the wound healing process is still largely unknown. Interest is growing in the understanding of the specific effects of PUFA derived metabolites on the cellular and molecular mechanisms involved in the modulation of inflammatory process [24]. The most bioactive PUFAs are eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) that can be obtained directly from many dietary sources as fish and algae, or indirectly through the endogenous metabolic conversion of their precursor, as linolenic acid contained in high concentrations in vegetables and nuts.

Data on the effects of omega-3 fatty acids from flaxseed oil on wound healing in human studies are scarce. Additionally, the studies [25-27] that have evaluated the effects of fish oil supplements (rich in omega-3) on wound healing in patients without diabetes have produced mixed results.

Regarding the consequence of omega-3 fatty acids on inflammatory factors, a meta-analysis showed that supplementation with marine-based omega-3 induce a significative reduction of C-reactive protein,
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IL-6, and tumor necrosis factor α [26]. McDaniel et al [26, 27, 29] showed that supplementing omega-3 for 4 wk in a healthy subjects resulted in increased production of pro-inflammatory cytokines, including IL-1β at wound sites representing a potential therapeutic option for people with chronic wounds [26-29].

As recommended in the Expert consensus and guidance of the American limb preservation society on nutrition interventions in adults with diabetic foot ulcers, mono and PUFAs, including EPA, DHA, and arachidonic acid should be considered because they contribute to membrane fluidity, membrane and intracellular signals, and modulation of apoptotic pathways [30] (ALPS Nutrition Interventions in Adults with Diabetic Foot Ulcers Expert Consensus and Guidance).

In a double-blind RCT, Soleimani et al [31] evaluated the effects of daily omega-3 fatty acids from flax seeds for 12 wk in 60 subjects with grade 3 DFU according to “Wagner-Meggitt’s criteria”. Participants were assigned to receive 1 g twice daily of omega-3 PUFA or a placebo for 12 wk. A significant reduction in ulcer width, length, and depth and an increase in insulin sensitivity and total antioxidant capacity was observed in the intervention compared to the control group. As reported by Moore et al [32] the study of Soleimani et al [31] had several limitations. The sample size was small. The authors did not report percentage of ulcers healed, cost of surgery, quality of life, amputation rate, and incidence of osteomyelitis from surgery.

PROBIOTICS

An emerging treatment line for wound healing is the use of probiotics, defined by the International Scientific Association of Probiotics and Prebiotics as “live microorganisms which when administered in adequate amounts confer a health benefit on the host” [33].

The most common probiotics used as supplements include members of the Lactobacillus spp, Bifidobacterium genera [34], strains from other bacterial species (Propionibacterium acidilactici, Lactococcus lactis, Leuconostoc mesenteroides, Bacillus subtilis, Streptococcus thermophilus) and yeasts (Saccharomycesboulardii) [35].

Perioperative supplementation of probiotics demonstrated accelerated skin healing in diabetic rats, probably due to a reduction of the inflammatory response, increased neovascularization, and increased type 1 collagen deposition. Supplementation with probiotics also prevents weight loss and improves glycemic control in animal models [36].

In a double-blind RCT, the effects of daily probiotics supplementation for 12 wk were examined in 60 patients with DFU with cellulitis on wound healing [37]. Participants were randomly assigned to receive a probiotic capsule or placebo daily for 12 wk.

The study founds improvement in length, width, and depth of the ulcers in patients treated with probiotic supplement compared to placebo. Additionally, compared to placebo, a significant decrease in HbA1c (-0.6% ± 0.5% vs -0.2% ± 0.4%), total cholesterol and CRP levels was observed in treated patients. This study had several limitations: the sample size was small; the authors did not report data on ulcer healing, quality of life, adverse events, and amputation rate.

Studies on probiotic use are limited, for this reason more robust evidence are needed to examine the effects of probiotics on wound healing in patients with DFU.

VITAMINS AND TRACE ELEMENTS

Vitamin C

Vitamin C, also known as ascorbic acid, is a water-soluble vitamin that plays a pivotal role in many biological processes involved in wound healing, including collagen formation, regulation of the immune system, and maintenance of cartilage and bones.

The recommended dietary allowance for adults and older is 90 mg daily for men and 75 mg for women. Deficiency of Ascorbic Acid has been associated with increased severity of DFU in a prospective study of 131 patients with diabetic foot [12]. Intriguing data derive from case series of people with diabetes and poorly healing lower limb ulcers in whom there was prompt ulcer healing with vitamin C replacement [38].

Recent evidence from some small randomized controlled trials has raised the idea of a possible benefit of vitamin C supplements on wound healing in DFU. An Australian placebo-controlled randomized trial founds that active treatment with Vitamin C 500 mg daily in a slow-release capsule for 8 wk results in a better percentage reduction in ulcer volume in 7 patients with DFU [39].

With similar findings Yarahmadi et al [40] demonstrate that supplements of vitamin E (200IU/2 d) and C (250IU/2 d) for eight weeks significantly increase wound healing in patients with non-healing DFU by enhancing the wound healing process and reducing oxidative stress in 13 patients treated within platelet-rich plasma-fibrin glue dressing plus [40].
Therefore, although seminal evidence is promising and of interest for future research, more convincing and robust evidence on the role of ascorbic acid in wound healing is required.

**Vitamin D**

Vitamin D is a fat-soluble vitamin that has long been known to help the body absorb certain calcium and phosphorus; both are essential for the building of bone. Circulating levels of 25 (OHD) are low in patients with DFU[12] or patients with severe peripheral artery disease[41]. Furthermore, vitamin D insufficiency has been associated with impaired inflammatory responses, oxidative stress, and wound healing. A meta-analysis of observational studies reports that severe vitamin D deficiency is associated with foot ulceration[42] in people with diabetes. However, evidence of the benefits of vitamin D supplementation in DFU is still lacking. To date, we have only a few RCTs (most of them for the same study group) that evaluated the effects of Vitamin D supplementation on wound healing in DFU. A double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomized clinical trial, conducted among 60 patients with grade 3 DFU according to “Wagner-Meggitt” criteria, showed that after 12 wk of intervention, compared to placebo, vitamin D supplementation resulted in a significant reduction in ulcer length, width, depth, and erythema rate[43]. A very similar study with a comparable number of participants confirmed that a supplement of 60000 IU/weekly of cholecalciferol had a beneficial effect on wound healing when compared with a placebo[44].

More convincing and robust evidence is required on the role of vitamin D supplementation in wound healing.

**Trace elements**

The nutritional relevance of trace elements has grown rapidly due to a better understanding of their biological functions. They are involved with many enzymatic processes such as catalysis, oxidation-reduction, and cellular transport. Therefore, trace elements have a pivotal role in the synthesis and structural stabilization of both proteins and nucleic acid needed for wound healing. In wound healing, the most important trace elements are zinc, copper, chromium, selenium, and magnesium, which are believed to be essential for the regeneration of physiological tissue in humans[45]. However, only a few randomized controlled trials have tested the effect of trace element supplements on wound healing in DFU.

Effects of magnesium supplements on wound healing in people with diabetes were evaluated in 2 small randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. The first[46] was carried out among 70 subjects with grade 3 DFU according to the “Wagner-Meggitt” criteria and subjects treated with 250 mg of magnesium oxide had beneficial effects on ulcer size after 12 wk of treatment. In a similar study 57 patients with grade 3, DFU were randomized to take either 250 mg magnesium oxide plus 400 IU vitamin E (n = 29) or a placebo per day (n = 28) for 12 wk. Compared to placebo, taking magnesium plus vitamin E supplements reduced ulcer length and depth[47].

Zinc was tough to improve wound healing due to its effects on insulin resistance, inflammation, and oxidative stress. The effect of zinc supplements on wound healing in DFU was evaluated in a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial conducted among 60 patients with grade 3 diabetic foot ulcers[48]. Participants were randomly assigned to take either 220 mg zinc sulfate supplements containing 50 mg elemental zinc or a placebo daily for 12 wk. Compared with the placebo, zinc supplementation was associated with significant reductions in ulcer length and width.

**CONCLUSION**

Current evidence supports that poor nutritional status is common and associated with the impaired healing process in patients with DFU. However, the number of good quality RCTs evaluating nutritional supplementation in DFU patients is limited with varying effects[30,49,50]. Patients with diabetic foot ulcers require good multidisciplinary care to optimize wound healing, but often an important and overlooked aspect of this is their nutritional status. However, evidence for the impact of nutritional interventions on foot ulcer healing in people with diabetes remains uncertain, with studies showing no clear benefit or harm. For this reason, the IWGDF Guidelines on the use of interventions to improve healing of diabetic foot ulcers (2019) recommend not using interventions aimed at correcting the nutritional status of people with diabetic foot ulcers, to improve healing, preferring it as the best standard of care[39]. Instead, according to the new Australian guidelines on wound healing interventions to improve wound healing, the nutritional status of the foot ulcer should be reviewed, and adequate daily nutritional needs should be met as part of the best standard of care “to ensure that this recommendation has not been misinterpreted[15]. In conclusion more and well-designed studies are needed to better clarify the potential impact of nutritional supplementation on the healing of foot ulcers in people with diabetes.
PERSPECTIVES

Author’s suggestion for future research and design of proper RCT study: (1) Complete evaluation of nutritional status at the beginning, evidence, and agreement on malnutrition, and evidence if the population examined have a specific nutritional defect; (2) guarantee to all patient's real optimal standard therapy; (3) choose uniformity of wound conditions, don’t mix heterogeneous kinds of wounds. Consider that some conditions are time-limited, for example, choosing “infected wounds” we don’t define a homogeneous group, with this definition we could include neuropathic, ischemic, traumatic, or mixed lesions with very different characteristics; (4) adequate duration of supplementation and study too, since mean wound healing of diabetic foot is approximately 3 mo, it is not possible to evaluate healing in a shorter period; (5) evaluate evidence of supplementation with blood samples that demonstrate direct change in parameters; and (6) choose multiple parameters, other than healing, to evaluate the effects of supplementation: Rate of wound reduction, percentage of granulation tissue.
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