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Dear Reviewers/Editors,

We thoroughly revised the manuscript according to your remarks and we confirm that we followed all of the guidelines for formatting and revision of manuscripts. Thank you for the opportunity to improve our manuscript in order to be accepted and we hope it meets the requirements for publication in the World Journal of Clinical Cases.

You can find attached our revised and locked language edited version of the manuscript along with all necessary documents.

Answer to:

-Reviewer #1’s Specific comments to the authors:

1. Please try to avoid redundancy; the patient’s test results are mentioned multiple times. Despite the fact that the tests are performed at different times, it is preferable to summarize the most important findings and compare them to the post-operative lab results. If you list everything on a case report, it’s possible that rereads will overlook the important elements.

Dear Reviewer, we have summarized the patient’s results in two tables- 1-preoperative and 2- peri- and postoperative laboratory results (page 16-19, table 1 and 2). The examination findings are separated into laboratory and imaging results. We have used the updated SCARE check list which guided us in the revision of the manuscript and we have cited it as a reference as well (page 11, line 20-22).

-Reviewer #2:

However, the figures in this case are not clear. The conclusion is inappropriate. The article is not concise enough.
Dear Reviewer, we have shortened our manuscript in order to avoid doubling and redundancy. We transformed the conclusion of the manuscript to be more comprehensible and more relevant to the topic of the clinical case (page 10, line 22-30). The figures are reorganized, renamed and numbered (page 14-16, figure 1, 2 and 3).

-Science editor:

The manuscript needs to be summarized and it needs an important language revision.

Dear Science editor, we have summarized and removed all of the repetitions in the manuscript, including patient’s laboratory results (page 5, line 14-26; page 6, line 1-2). The manuscript was sent to Language polishing service after our revision in order to achieve Grade A language quality.

-Company editor in chief:

However, the quality of the English language of the manuscript does not meet the requirements of the journal. Before final acceptance, the author(s) must provide the English Language Certificate issued by a professional English language editing company. Before its final acceptance, the author(s) must provide the Signed Consent for Treatment Form(s) or Document(s). Before final acceptance, uniform presentation should be used for figures showing the same or similar contents; for example, “Figure 1 Pathological changes of atrophic gastritis after treatment. A: ...; B: ...; C: ...; D: ...; E: ...; F: ...; G: ...”. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor. In order to respect and protect the author’s intellectual property rights and prevent others from misappropriating figures without the author’s authorization or abusing figures without indicating the source, we will indicate the author's copyright for figures originally generated by the author, and if the author has used a figure published elsewhere or that is copyrighted, the author needs to be authorized by the previous publisher or the copyright holder and/or indicate the reference source and copyrights. Please check and confirm whether the figures are original (i.e. generated de novo by the author(s) for this paper). If the picture is ‘original’, the author needs to add the following copyright information to the bottom right-hand side of the picture in PowerPoint (PPT): Copyright ©The Author(s) 2022. Authors are required to provide standard three-line tables, that is, only the top line, bottom line, and column line are displayed, while other table lines are hidden. The contents of each cell in the table should conform to the editing specifications, and the lines of each row or column of the table should be aligned. Do not use carriage returns or spaces to replace lines or vertical lines and do not segment cell content. Before final acceptance, when revising the manuscript, the author must supplement and improve the highlights of the latest cutting-edge research results, thereby further improving the content of the manuscript. To this end, authors are advised to apply a new tool, the RCA. RCA is an artificial intelligence technology-based open multidisciplinary citation analysis database.
Dear Editor in chief, after our revision, the manuscript is sent to Language polishing service in order to achieve Grade A language quality. We have provided the English Language Certificate issued by the professional English language editing company along with our revised and language polished manuscript.

We are applying the Signed Consent for Treatment Document.

The figures which are showing similar content are re-organized and a proper name and a number is describing the figure’s content. We have provided the original figure documents and we prepared a Power point document with the editable figures. We confirm that the figures and tables in the manuscript are original and we have added the Copyright information to the bottom right hand side of the picture (page 14-16, figure 1, 2 and 3).

The tables are formatted as standard tree line tables with only the top line, bottom line and column line displayed. We have followed the Guidelines for preparation of bitmaps, vector graphics and tables in revised manuscripts so that the lines of each row or column to be aligned and the content is relevant to the editing specifications (table 1 and 2, page 16-19).

We have updated and improved our article by using referencecitationanalysis.com and added citation by the newest review in the reported area (page 10, line 3-9).

We have improved and formatted our references by adding PMID and DOI (page 11-13) as well as we used proper coding system for the citations according to the BPG Format for references guidelines.

We confirm that we have took notice of all of your special comments.

Thank you for considering the review.

With respect:

The Authors