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**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Overall this is an interesting case about renal cell carcinoma presented with icteric stauffer syndrome. However, the figures in this case are not clear. The conclusion is inappropriate. The article is not concise enough.
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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
I'm grateful to the authors for giving such a rare case. I have a few comments to say to authors.  
1. Please try to avoid redundancy; the patient's test results are mentioned multiple times. Despite the fact that the tests are performed at different times, it is preferable to summarize the most important findings and compare them to the post-operative lab results. If you list everything on a case report, it's possible that rereads will overlook the important elements. Your investigation results can alternatively be organized as laboratory and imaging results.  
2. You can use the 2020 version of the SCARE check list, which has been updated. If you use the checklist, I recommend citing it as a reference.
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The authors' figures do not meet publication standards. The authors should provide the original image, not the photograph.